BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF:

PARENTS ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

HACIENDA LA PUENTE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT.

OAH CASE NUMBER 2023100784

ORDER FOLLOWING PREHEARING CONFERENCE GRANTING REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION; ORDER SETTING SECOND MEDIATION AND CONTINUING DUE PROCESS HEARING

FEBRUARY 27, 2024

On February 26, 2024, Administrative Law Judge Jennifer Kelly, Office of Administrative Hearings, held a prehearing conference by videoconference. The Administrative Law Judge is called an ALJ. The Office of Administrative Hearings is called OAH. The prehearing conference is called a PHC.

Jenny Chau, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of Student. Kaho Madea, law clerk, observed the PHC. Iris C. Gomez, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of

Hacienda La Puente Unified School District, called Hacienda La Puente. The PHC was recorded. Based upon discussion with the parties, the ALJ issues the following order:

HEARING DATES, TIMES, AND LOCATION

DUE PROCESS HEARING

The due process hearing is currently scheduled to begin March 5, 2024. On February 21, 2024, the undersigned ALJ denied the parties' joint request to schedule a second mediation and a second continuance of the due process hearing because the parties did not establish good cause for their requests.

OAH must conduct a due process hearing and issue a decision within 45 days of receiving the due process complaint unless an extension is granted. (34 C.F.R. § 300.515(a); Ed. Code, §§ 56502, subd. (f), 56505, subd. (f)(3).) Resolving the due process hearing quickly is legally required. Hearings may only be continued if "good cause" is established. (Ed. Code, § 56505, subd. (f)(3).) OAH is guided by the Administrative Procedure Act and the California Rules of Court when ruling on continuance motions. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 1, § 1020; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332.)

Good cause to continue a hearing may include:

- An essential lay or expert witness is unavailable because of death, illness, or other excusable circumstances;
- A party is unavailable because of death, illness, or other excusable circumstances;
- A party's attorney is unavailable because of death, illness, or other excusable circumstances;

- A new attorney substitutes in, but only if the party establishes that the substitution is required in the interests of justice;
- A new party is added, and the new party has not had a reasonable opportunity to prepare for trial, or other parties have not had a reasonable opportunity to prepare for trial regarding the new party's involvement;
- A party is unable to obtain essential testimony, documents, or other material evidence despite diligent efforts; or
- The case has a significant and unexpected change which makes the case not ready for trial.

Generally, continuances are disfavored. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.1332(c).)

OAH will generally reconsider a ruling upon a showing of new or different facts, circumstances, or law justifying the reconsideration, when the party seeks reconsideration within a reasonable period. (Gov. Code, § 11521, Code Civ. Proc., § 1008.) The party seeking reconsideration may also be required to provide an explanation for its failure to previously provide the different facts, circumstances, or law. (*Baldwin v. Home Savings of America* (1997) 59 Cal.App.4th 1192, 1199-1200.)

On February 22, 2024, Hacienda La Puente requested reconsideration of OAH's order in its PHC statement. Hacienda La Puente's request was made within a reasonable time following OAH's February 21, 2024 order.

At the PHC, Hacienda La Puente alleged new facts in support of its request for reconsideration which included clarification its attorney of record will be unavailable during the currently scheduled hearing dates due to a prepaid vacation. Accordingly, Hacienda La Puente's request for reconsideration is granted.

Hacienda La Puente established good cause to continue the due process hearing due the unavailability of its attorney of record. Additionally, the parties established good cause for a second mediation. The originally scheduled mediation could not be completed due to Parent's unforeseen work conflict. The parties informed the ALJ they have made diligent efforts to resolve this dispute without the necessity of hearing and believe a second half-day mediation with a mediator will be productive in resolving this dispute. The parties therefore established good cause for a second mediation.

Based a showing of good cause, all previously scheduled dates are vacated. The new dates are:

MEDIATION DATE is March 26, 2024, 1:30 p.m. to 5:00 p.m.

MEDIATION WILL OCCUR VIA TELEPHONE OR VIDEO.

PREHEARING CONFERENCE will be held on May 3, 2024, at 1:00 p.m.

DUE PROCESS HEARING will be held on May 14, 15, and 16, 2024.

The PHC and due process hearing will be held by videoconference or telephone, unless otherwise ordered. The due process hearing will begin at 9:30 AM on all days, and generally end at 3:30 PM, unless otherwise ordered. The hearing will continue day to day, as needed, Mondays and Fridays included, at the discretion of the ALJ. PHC statements and motions are due to OAH no later than three business days before the PHC. Late motions will only be considered if good cause is established for the late filing.

The parties must immediately give potential witnesses the hearing dates, and must subpoena witnesses, if necessary, so they are available to testify. Failing to properly notify or subpoena a witness is not good cause to delay the hearing.

SETTLEMENT

The parties are encouraged to continue working together to reach an agreement before the due process hearing. The parties shall inform OAH in writing immediately if they reach a settlement or otherwise resolve the dispute before the scheduled hearing. In addition, if a settlement is reached within five days of the scheduled start of the due process hearing, the parties shall also inform OAH of the settlement by telephone at (916) 263-0880. At the same time, the parties should send the signature page of the signed agreement or a letter withdrawing the case to OAH by visiting OAH Secure e-file at https://www.applications.dgs.ca.gov/oah/oahsftweb.

If a full and final written settlement agreement is reached after 4:00 PM the day prior to hearing, the parties shall leave a voicemail message regarding the settlement at (916) 274-6035. The parties should also leave contact information such as cellular phone numbers of each party or counsel for each party.

Dates for hearing will not be cancelled until the letter of withdrawal or signature page of the signed agreement has been received and processed by OAH. If an agreement in principle is reached, the parties should plan to attend the scheduled hearing unless different arrangements have been agreed upon by the assigned ALJ. The assigned ALJ will check for messages before the hearing.

If the matter settles subject to board approval, in addition to a signed copy of the signature page of the settlement agreement, the parties shall provide the date of the next board meeting. The hearing dates will not be cancelled without this information.

FAILURE TO COMPLY

The failure to comply with this Order may result in the exclusion of evidence or other sanctions.

ORDER INCLUDES ALL RULINGS

This Order includes all rulings made at the PHC. Any discussion and conversation not referenced in this Order is not binding on the ALJ conducting the hearing and may not be relied upon by the parties. The parties should be aware that, at hearing, the ALJ conducting the hearing has discretion to reconsider any part of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Jennifer Kelly

Jennifer Kelly
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings