BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of: PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT, v. SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT.	OAH Case No. 2017031156 ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

On June 5, 2017, the undersigned administrative law judge issued an order following the Prehearing Conference in the above-captioned matter.. On June 7, 2017, Sacramento City filed a request for "clarification" on behalf of District. No opposition was received from Student as of the date of this order. This motion is being treated as a motion for reconsideration.

APPLICABLE LAW

The Office of Administrative Hearings will generally reconsider a ruling upon a showing of new or different facts, circumstances, or law justifying reconsideration, when the party seeks reconsideration within a reasonable period of time. (See, e.g., Gov. Code, § 11521; Code Civ. Proc., § 1008.) The party seeking reconsideration may also be required to provide an explanation for its failure to previously provide the different facts, circumstances or law. (See *Baldwin v. Home Savings of America* (1997) 59 Cal.App.4th 1192, 1199-1200.)

DISCUSSION

Sacramento City alleges no new facts, circumstances, or law in support of the request for reconsideration. Nor is the request accompanied by a sworn declaration by any representative of Sacramento City. Accordingly, Sacramento City's request for reconsideration is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: June 9, 2017

DocuSigned by:
Penelope S. Pahl
B3DF836E5DA94F2...

PENELOPE S. PAHL
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings