BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF:

PARENTS ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT.

OAH CASE NUMBER 2024010524

ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

MARCH 6, 2025

On February 27, 2025, Administrative Law Judge Laurie Gorsline issued a February 26, 2025 Order Denying Student's Request for An Extension to File Closing Brief.

On March 3, 2025, Student filed a "Motion to Accept Closing Brief," which appears to be a motion for reconsideration of the February 26, 2025 Order. The Office of Administrative Hearings did not receive a response from Los Angeles Unified District.

The Office of Administrative Hearings will generally reconsider a ruling upon a showing of new or different facts, circumstances, or law justifying reconsideration, when the party seeks reconsideration within a reasonable period of time, generally within ten days. (See, e.g., Gov. Code, § 11521; Code Civ. Proc., § 1008.) The party seeking

reconsideration may also be required to provide an explanation for its failure to previously provide the different facts, circumstances or law. (See *Baldwin v. Home Savings of America* (1997) 59 Cal.App.4th 1192, 1199-1200.)

Student offers no new facts, circumstances or law sufficient to support reconsideration of the prior order. The facts and circumstances raised in Student's motion were clearly known to Parent at the time Student filed the February 14, 2025 request for an extension to file her closing brief.

Student has not established the February 26, 2025 Order should be reconsidered. The motion for reconsideration is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Laurie Gorsline

Laurie Gorsline
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings