BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE CONSOLIDATED MATTERS OF:

BAKERSFIELD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

v.

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

OAH CASE NUMBER 2020010042

AND

PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

BAKERSFIELD CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT,

OAH CASE NUMBER 2019120777

ORDER FOLLOWING PREHEARING CONFERENCE

MARCH 2, 2020

On March 2, 2020, Administrative Law Judge Chris Butchko, Office of Administrative Hearings, held a telephonic prehearing conference regarding the expedited hearing in this matter. The Administrative Law Judge is called an ALJ; the Office of Administrative Hearings is called OAH; and a prehearing conference is called a PHC.

Gabriela Torres, Attorney at Law, and Andria Seo, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of Parent and Student, referred to collectively as Student. Kidd P. Crawford, Attorney at Law, appeared on behalf of Bakersfield City School District. The PHC was recorded. Based upon discussion with the parties, the ALJ issues the following Order:

HEARING DATES, TIMES, AND LOCATION

EXPEDITED DUE PROCESS HEARING

The expedited hearing will take place on March 10 through March 12, 2020, and continue day to day at the discretion of the ALJ. The hearing shall begin at 9:30 AM on the first day and at 9:00 AM on all other days, unless otherwise ordered. The hearing may take longer that the three scheduled days.

HEARING LOCATION

The hearing will be held at Bakersfield City School District, 714 Williams Street, Bakersfield, California 93305.

The parties shall immediately notify all potential witnesses of the hearing dates, and shall subpoena witnesses if necessary, to ensure that the witnesses will be available to testify. A witness will not be regarded as unavailable for purposes of showing good cause to continue the hearing if the witness was not properly notified of the hearing date or properly subpoenaed, as applicable.

Bakersfield City shall fully comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.), the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794 et seq.), the Unruh Civil Rights Act (Civ. Code, § 51 et seq.), and all laws governing accessibility of government facilities to persons with disabilities. OAH requires school districts to file written certification that the facilities provided comply with these laws.

Bakersfield City filed the required certification on January 14, 2020. Bakersfield shall notify OAH within three business days of the date of this Order if it is unable to provide facilities that comply with the laws governing accessibility of government facilities to persons with disabilities.

Before the beginning of the hearing, Bakersfield City shall ensure that the hearing room is configured into a courtroom setting and shall contain:

- a table for Student and his representatives;
- a table for Bakersfield City's legal representatives and special education representatives;
- a table for the witness; and
- a table near an electrical outlet for the ALJ.

The same hearing room shall be used for each day of hearing and shall be available at least one hour before the beginning of the hearing each day. The hearing room shall be locked following the hearing each night. Bakersfield City shall ensure that the hearing room and access to it are in compliance with the all laws governing accessibility of government facilities to persons with disabilities.

EXPEDITED ISSUES AND PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS

The issues at the expedited due process hearing, and proposed resolutions, as alleged in the second amended complaint and clarified by the parties and the ALJ during the PHC are:

1. Following disciplinary incidents at school, did Bakersfield City's September 25, 2019 decision to change Student's educational placement without conducting a manifestation determination review hearing violate

Student's discipline procedural rights under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act because, although he was not eligible for special education and related services at the time, Bakersfield City knew or had a basis of knowledge that Student was a child with a disability before the behavior that precipitated the disciplinary action occurred?

2. Following a disciplinary incident on or about January 23, 2020, did Bakersfield City violate Student's discipline procedural rights under the IDEA by failing to conduct a timely manifestation determination hearing?
3. Was Bakersfield City's February 5, 2020 manifestation determination review hearing improperly conducted or incorrect in its outcome?

PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS

Student seeks an order directing that Bakersfield City conduct a manifestation determination review for any changes of placement that were done without a review. Student also seeks an order finding that the behavior that was evaluated at the February 5, 2020 manifestation determination review was a manifestation of his disability. Student also seeks an order directing Student's return to his prior placement and directing that Bakersfield City adopt the determination that the behavior was a manifestation of a disability.

Bakersfield City filed its response to Student's second amended complaint on February 21, 2020. (*M.C. v. Antelope Valley Unified School Dist.* (9th Cir. 2017) 858 F.3d 1189, 1199-1200.)

EXHIBITS

BINDERS

Exhibits shall be pre-marked and placed in three-ring binders before the hearing. Exhibit binders shall include a tab for each exhibit. Each binder shall contain a detailed table of contents which clearly identifies the tabs and documents. Binders should not be so full that the ALJ and witnesses cannot easily turn to the exhibit tabs and pages in the binders. Multiple binders may be submitted if necessary.

TAB AND PAGE NUMBERS

The parties must place a letter followed by a number on each tab to identify each exhibit. Student must use the letter S before the number to identify Student's exhibits. Bakersfield City must use the letter D before the number to identify its exhibits. For example, S-1 means Student's exhibit tab 1 and D-1 means Bakersfield City's exhibit tab 1. Parties may either number each page within each tabbed exhibit or number each page in the binder consecutively beginning with the first page of the first exhibit through the last page of the last exhibit. For example, if there are 100 total pages in the binder the first page of the first exhibit is 1 and the last page of the last exhibit in the binder is 100.

CONTENT

Each exhibit must consist of one document. Separate documents may not be combined into one exhibit. Emails or letters may be consolidated into one exhibit if they are part of a single chain of messages. Exhibits in the binders should be in chronological order.

Parties should include resumes or curriculum vitae for each witness who is expected to testify as an expert. Parties must exchange resumes not later than 24 hours before the witness is scheduled to testify and provide a copy to the ALJ before the witness testifies.

Bakersfield City's binder must include a color copy of the school calendar for each school year in question.

COPIES OF BINDERS

The parties must serve copies of their evidence binders on each other. This exchange is required by Education Code section 56505, subdivision (e)(7). Each party must bring two additional sets of their exhibit binders to the hearing. One set will be used by the ALJ, and the other set will be used by witnesses. The parties may not serve exhibits on OAH before the hearing.

Except for good cause shown, or unless used solely for rebuttal or impeachment, any exhibit not timely exchanged shall not be admitted into evidence at the hearing unless the ALJ rules that it is admissible.

WITNESSES

Parties are responsible for producing their own witnesses unless otherwise ordered by the ALJ. Parties shall make witnesses under their control reasonably available. The parties must schedule their witnesses to avoid delays in the hearing and to minimize or eliminate the need for calling witnesses out of order. Neither party will be permitted to call any witnesses not timely disclosed except for good cause shown, and at the discretion of the ALJ.

The ALJ has discretion to limit the number of witnesses who testify and the time allowed for witnesses' testimony. Education Code, section 56505.1, subd. (h), empowers the ALJ to set a reasonable limit on the length of the hearing after consideration of four factors. The first two factors are the issues to be heard and the complexity of facts to be proven. The second two factors are the ability of the parties or their representatives to present their respective cases and the parties' estimates of the time needed to present their cases.

MEET AND CONFER

The parties are encouraged to review and shorten their witness and exhibit lists before the hearing. Evidence may be excluded if it is repetitive, cumulative, or insufficiently probative to justify the time it would take to hear. The parties are ordered to meet and confer by no later than close of business on March 5, 2020, to discuss the availability of witnesses, the order of witnesses, and the length of witness testimony. The parties should attempt to eliminate duplicate exhibits from their binders. The parties may discuss whether they can produce a written stipulation stating basic facts to shorten the length of time needed for the hearing. The parties shall also discuss scheduling of additional days of hearing to avoid conflict with the other expedited hearing between the parties set to commence on March 17, 2020.

EVIDENCE PRESENTATION

In an administrative proceeding, the burden of proof is ordinarily on the party requesting the hearing. (*Schaffer v. Weast* (2005) 546 U.S. 49, 62.) In this case, Student requested the expedited hearing and bears the burden of proof. Bakersfield City's case, which is consolidated with Student's case, does not include any expedited issues, and will not be heard at the same time as Student's expedited issues, but will rather be heard

at a later date, when Student's non-expedited issues are heard. Therefore, Student's case-in-chief shall be presented first at this expedited hearing.

WITNESS LISTS

The parties must provide a joint proposed witness list and schedule to the ALJ on the first day of hearing. The list should include time estimates of expected testimony. In the event an agreement cannot be reached, each party shall prepare a separate written list. Bakersfield City must have witnesses available in case there is no agreement. At the beginning of the hearing, the ALJ and the parties will discuss the length of time anticipated for direct and cross-examination of each witness and scheduling issues for individual witnesses. The ALJ will finalize the witness schedule. Parties must be prepared at the end of each day to discuss the witnesses to be presented the next day and the estimated time for the testimony of each witness.

SCOPE OF WITNESS EXAMINATION

Each witness will be called to testify only once, except for rebuttal purposes. All parties must examine the witness on all issues when the witness is first called. Requiring a witness to appear only once takes priority over the order in which parties present their cases in chief.

TELEPHONIC TESTIMONY

Each party anticipates presenting up to two witnesses telephonically. Neither party objected to the other so doing. Whether a witness may appear by telephone is a matter within the discretion of the ALJ. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 5, § 3082, subd. (g).) Any party seeking to present a witness by telephone shall request permission to do so before the hearing. The party offering the witness must provide the witness with a

complete set of exhibit binders from all parties before the witness testifies. The binders must be exact paper copies of all of each party's exhibits. Electronic copies are not acceptable. The party presenting the witness shall ensure the witness testifies from a private location with no other persons present. The party offering telephonic testimony shall ensure that the witness has telephone equipment that allows testimony at a volume that allows clear transmission of what the witness is saying, allows the witness' testimony to make a clear recording, and allows the witness to hear objections and rulings. Bakersfield City shall ensure that the hearing room has telephone speaker equipment that allows everyone in the room to hear the witness, and allows the witness to hear objections and rulings. No witness will be heard by telephone unless all of these requirements have been fulfilled. The parties' requests to present witnesses telephonically is granted.

ELECTRONIC RECORDINGS

AUDIO RECORDING

Both parties requested permission to make an audio recording of the hearing. The ALJ granted permission to both parties to make an audio recording, based upon certain conditions. The conditions are:

- the OAH recording is the only official recording;
- the parties shall turn the recording device on and off at the same time that the ALJ is on and off the record to avoid recording conversations while off the record;
- the recording device will be removed by the party recording during any recess where the party leaves the room;

- operation of the party's recording mechanism will not be allowed to delay the hearing;
- a party's recording may not be given, provided or played to a witness, or potential witness; and
- the recording is subject to the same confidentiality as the due process hearing.

VIDEO RECORDING

No party, witness or anyone else may make any video recording of any part of the proceedings.

RECORDINGS AS EXHIBITS

The ALJ has discretion to admit or exclude evidence in the form of a recording. Any request to submit a recording shall be considered at the time of hearing. The ALJ may grant or deny the request, or grant the request subject to certain conditions. The party offering the recording must establish that all or a part of the recording is relevant. The ALJ may request a transcript of the portion of the recording requested or have the party offering the recording provide an exact identifiable portion of the recording the party seeks to admit. The identities of all people speaking on the portion of the tape being introduced must be established. An ALJ may allow the opposing party to offer additional portions of a recording to establish the context, or to rebut, the recording.

COMPENSATORY EDUCATION OR REIMBURSEMENT

Any party seeking reimbursement for expenditures shall present admissible evidence of these expenditures, or a stipulation to the amount of the expenditures. A party seeking compensatory education should provide evidence regarding the type,

amount, duration, and need for any requested compensatory education. Documents offered as evidence to support a request for reimbursement must be separated by vendor.

MOTIONS

On February 27, 2020, Bakersfield City filed a motion to quash Student's subpoena duces tecum, which is being ruled upon in a separate order. Student filed a motion to quash Bakersfield City's subpoena duces tecum on March 2, 2020, which is not yet ripe for ruling. No other prehearing motions are pending or contemplated. Any motion subsequently filed shall be supported by a declaration under penalty of perjury establishing good cause why the motion was not timely filed three days before the prehearing conference.

STIPULATIONS

Stipulations to pertinent facts, contentions or resolutions are encouraged. Any proposed stipulation shall be submitted to the assigned ALJ in writing.

CONDUCT OF COUNSEL AND HEARING ROOM DECORUM

Counsel, all parties, and all witnesses must conduct themselves in a professional and courteous manner at all times. Cellular phones must be shut off or set to vibrate during the hearing unless the ALJ grants permission otherwise.

LANGUAGE INTERPRETERS AND REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS

No language interpreter is required.

A party or participant to this case, such as a witness, requiring reasonable accommodation to participate in the hearing may contact OAH at (916) 263-0880, or send an email to OAHADA@dgs.ca.gov as soon as the need is known. The e-mail should have "Request for Accommodation" in the Subject Line. Additional information concerning requests for reasonable accommodation is available on OAH's website at: https://www.dgs.ca.gov/OAH/Services/Page-Content/Office-of-Administrative-Hearings-Services-List-Folder/Accommodations-at-OAH.

HEARING OPEN OR CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC

The hearing is closed to the public. Special Education hearings are closed to the public unless Student requests the hearing to be open to the public.

SETTLEMENT

The parties are encouraged to continue working together to reach an agreement before the due process hearing. The parties shall inform OAH in writing immediately if they reach a settlement or otherwise resolve the dispute before the scheduled hearing. In addition, if a settlement is reached within five days of the scheduled start of the due process hearing, the parties shall also inform OAH of the settlement by telephone at (916) 263-0880. At the same time, the parties should send the signature page of the signed agreement or a letter withdrawing the case to OAH at https://www.applications.dgs.ca.gov/oah/oahsftweb.

If a full and final written settlement agreement is reached after 4:00 p.m. the day prior to hearing, the parties shall leave a voicemail message regarding the settlement at (916) 274-6035. The parties should also leave contact information such as cellular phone numbers of each party or counsel for each party.

Dates for hearing will not be cancelled until the letter of withdrawal or signature page of the signed agreement has been received and processed by OAH. If an agreement in principle is reached, the parties should plan to attend the scheduled hearing unless different arrangements have been agreed upon by the assigned ALJ. The assigned ALJ will check for messages before the hearing.

If the matter settles subject to board approval, in addition to a signed copy of the signature page of the settlement agreement, the parties shall provide the date of the next board meeting. The hearing dates will not be cancelled without this information.

FAILURE TO COMPLY

The failure to comply with this Order may result in the exclusion of evidence or other sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DocuSigned by:
Chris Butchko
34B49AF59A2749D...

Chris Butchko

Administrative Law Judge

Office of Administrative Hearings