BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Consolidated Matters of: PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT, v. HEMET UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT,	OAH CASE NO. 2013090788
HEMET UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, v. PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT.	OAH CASE NO. ORDER GRANTING/DENYING REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

On [Date], the undersigned administrative law judge issued an order [finding that [Name of party]'s complaint was insufficient and giving [Name of party] 14 days to file an amended complaint/granting /denying]. On [Date], [Name of party] filed a [motion/request] for reconsideration on behalf of [Student/District/other party]. On [Date], [Name of party] filed an [opposition] to the [motion/request].

APPLICABLE LAW

The Office of Administrative Hearings will generally reconsider a ruling upon a showing of new or different facts, circumstances, or law justifying reconsideration, when the party seeks reconsideration within a reasonable period of time. (See, e.g., Gov. Code, § 11521; Code Civ. Proc., § 1008.) The party seeking reconsideration may also be required to provide an explanation for its failure to previously provide the different facts, circumstances or law. (See *Baldwin v. Home Savings of America* (1997) 59 Cal.App.4th 1192, 1199-1200.)

DISCUSSION AND ORDER

[[Name of party] alleges [no] new [facts, circumstances, or law] in support of the request reconsideration[, as follows:].]

[The [motion/response] [is/is not] accompanied by a sworn declaration by [Name of party]. [DISCUSSION]]

[The [motion] [is/is not] timely because it [was/was not] received within [two weeks/other] after issuance of the order. [DISCUSSION]]

[IF RECONSIDERATION GRANTED] On reconsideration, [discussion of previous ruling…].

Accordingly, [[Name of party]'s] request for reconsideration is [Granted/Denied].

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 01, 2013

/s/

TIM NEWLOVE
Presiding Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings