BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF:

PARENTS ON BEHALF OF STUDENT,

v.

FOLSOM-CORDOVA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT.

OAH CASE NUMBER 2024110401

ORDER CLARIFYING ISSUES 2 AND 6

JANUARY 2, 2025

On December 20, 2024, the Office of Administrative Hearings held a prehearing conference by video. Attorney Michelle Wilkolaski appeared on behalf of Student. Attorneys Rebecca Diddams and Katie Yoshida appeared on behalf of Folsom-Cordova Unified School District. The Office of Administrative Hearings is called OAH.

At the prehearing conference, the Administrative Law Judge and the parties discussed and clarified the issues to be heard and decided at hearing, with the exception of Issues 2 and 6. OAH ordered Student to file a notice of clarification as to Issues 2 and 6. Specifically, Student was ordered to clarify the accommodations Student is challenging that were offered in the November 15, 2022, and November 14, 2023 individualized education programs, called IEPs, and to list the accommodations Student

claims should have been offered as described in Student's due process hearing request, called a complaint.

On December 23, 2024, Student filed a Notice of Clarification as to Issues 2 and 6. On December 31, 2024, Folsom-Cordova Unified filed a response to the notice.

On December 31, 2024, OAH began the due process hearing in this matter. Based on the review of the complaint, Student's notice of clarification and Folsom-Cordova Unified's response to the notice, the parties and the ALJ discussed and further clarified Issues 2 and 6 as follows:

ISSUE 2: Did Folsom-Cordova Unified deny Student a free appropriate public education, called FAPE, in the November 15, 2022 IEP, by offering accommodations that were insufficient?

ISSUE 6: Did Folsom-Cordova Unified deny Student a FAPE in the November 14, 2023 IEP, by offering accommodations that were insufficient?

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Rommel P. Cruz

Rommel P. Cruz
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings