BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Consolidated Matters of: PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT, v. TEMECULA VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT,	OAH Case No. 2016100111
TEMECULA VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, v. PARENT ON BEHALF OF STUDENT.	OAH Case No. 2016120478 ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

On April 11, 2017, the undersigned administrative law judge issued an order that denied Temecula Valley Unified School District's preemptory challenge of Administrative Law Judge Paul Kamoroff for not being timely submitted. On April 11, 2017, District filed a request for reconsideration.[1]

APPLICABLE LAW

The Office of Administrative Hearings will generally reconsider a ruling upon a showing of new or different facts, circumstances, or law justifying reconsideration, when the party seeks reconsideration within a reasonable period of time. (See, e.g., Gov. Code, § 11521; Code Civ. Proc., § 1008.) The party seeking reconsideration may also be required to provide an explanation for its failure to previously provide the different facts, circumstances or law. (See *Baldwin v. Home Savings of America* (1997) 59 Cal.App.4th 1192, 1199-1200.)

[1] District's challenge for cause of ALJ Kamoroff will be ruled upon by ALJ Kamoroff at the commencement of the hearing.

DISCUSSION

District alleges no new facts, circumstances, or law in support of the request reconsideration, as District repeats the same facts as to why it untimely filed its peremptory challenge. Accordingly, District's request for reconsideration is denied.

ORDER

District's Motion for Reconsideration is denied.

DATE: April 12, 2017

DocuSigned by:
Peter Paul Castillo
F0BCD8A6A62C4E0...

PETER PAUL CASTILLO
Presiding Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings