BEFORE THE
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF:

PARENTS ON BEHALF OF STUDENT

V.

CUPERTINO UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT.

OAH CASE NUMBER 2026010399

ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR SANCTIONS

MARCH 9, 2026

On March 2, 2026, the undersigned Administrative Law Judge issued an order denying Student's motion for entry of default and motion for sanctions. On March 3, 2026, Parent filed a request for reconsideration of denial of sanctions. Cupertino Union School District did file a response. On March 4, 2026, the Office of Administrative Hearings issued the Expedited Decision in this matter.

APPLICABLE LAW

The Office of Administrative Hearings will generally reconsider a ruling upon a showing of new or different facts, circumstances, or law justifying reconsideration, when

the party seeks reconsideration within a reasonable period of time, generally within ten days. (See, e.g., Gov. Code, § 11521; Code Civ. Proc., § 1008.) The party seeking reconsideration may also be required to provide an explanation for its failure to previously provide the different facts, circumstances or law. (See *Baldwin v. Home Savings of America* (1997) 59 Cal.App.4th 1192, 1199-1200.)


DISCUSSION

Parent on behalf of Student does not offer any new facts, circumstances, or law, to support reconsideration. And Parent does not offer any explanation for failing to previously provide any legal citations and factual assertions which may possibly be considered new or different. The motion restates arguments and factual assertions made in Student's initial motion for sanctions, which were addressed in the March 2, 2026 order denying Student's sanctions request.

ORDER

Parent on behalf of Student has not established the March 2, 2026 order should be reconsidered. The motion for reconsideration of order denying sanctions is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Clifford H. Woosley
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings