



CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF
EDUCATION

TOM TORLAKSON

STATE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

June 25, 2012

San Juan Unified
PO Box 477
Carmichael, CA 95609

Project Tracking No: 67447-372
Re: Trajan Elementary
County: Sacramento
Square Feet: 11,584
Grade Level: K - 6

Dear Superintendent:

Subject: Final Plan Approval - Modernization

The California Department of Education approves the plans with the title sheet date of May 3, 2012, for the above referenced project. The plans were received on June 20, 2012. The plans meet the California Department of Education's standards for educational adequacy (California Code of Regulations, Title 5, et seq. and Education Code 17251(c) and (d)).

It is the responsibility of the school district to meet all requirements concerning toilet facilities, drinking water supply, sewage disposal, food service facilities and other plan elements having primary health and safety implications. The plans should be reviewed by the local health agency having jurisdiction and a written approval should be secured and filed in the school district's records. If the approved project involves work on an existing school building, it is the responsibility of the school district to meet all Federal, State and local requirements relating to the identification, remediation and/or removal of hazardous levels of lead and asbestos containing materials before or during construction. It is the responsibility of the district to complete all of the mitigation measures identified in the documents submitted to the California Department of Education for review.

The school site for this project is 8.9 usable acres. This represents 93.68% of the California Department of Education's recommended site size of 9.5 acres, as contained in the California Department of Education's "Guide to School Site Analysis and Development(2000)," for the current CBEDS enrollment of the site and the student capacity added by this project as calculated pursuant to SAB Regulation 1859.83(d).

The project as approved consists of:

<u>TEACHING STATIONS</u>	<u>GRADE LEVEL</u>	<u>ROOMS</u>	<u>STUDENTS</u>
Classrooms	1 - 6	11	275
Computer	1 - 6	1	25

Based on the standards specified in Education Code 17071.25 and the number of teaching stations in the project, the student capacity of this project is 300.

CORE FACILITIES:


Toilets

The district has certified that this project is either exempt from, or has completed, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) process.

For projects to be funded under the Leroy F. Greene School Facility Act of 1998, funding requests to the State Allocation Board must be submitted within two (2) years of the date of this letter. If the district is not seeking financial assistance from the State Allocation Board, the project must commence construction within two (2) years of the date of this letter. Regardless of the funding source, if, prior to construction, changes are made to the plans that would affect or alter the California Department of Education's original approval (including but not limited to changes in surrounding land uses, the master plan capacity of the project, changes in code and/or regulation, or a subsequent CEQA determination), the plan may be subject to reevaluation using the most recent standards.

Please contact the consultant identified below if you have questions regarding this letter.

Sincerely,



Fred A. Yeager, Assistant Director
School Facilities and Transportation Services
Division



Michael J. O'Neill, Consultant
School Facilities and Transportation Services
Division
(916)322-1463

DP6448/P6755
cc: Architect



DGS

DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES
Division of the State Architect – Sacramento Office

5/3/2012 - APPROVAL OF PLAN(S)

Glynn Thompson
San Juan Unified School District
3738 Walnut Avenue
Carmichael, 95608

Project: Trajan Elementary School (San Juan Unified School District)

Total Scope of Project: Alterations to 4-Classroom Buildings

Increment #: 0

Application #: 02-112324

File #: 34-69

Drawings and specifications for the subject project have been examined and stamped by the Division of the State Architect (DSA) for identification on 5/3/2012. This letter constitutes the "written approval of the plans as to safety of design and construction" required before letting any contract for construction, and applies only to the work shown on these drawings and specifications. The date of this letter is the DSA approval date.

Approval is limited to the particular location shown on the drawings and is conditioned on construction starting within one year from the stamped date. The inspector must be approved and the contract information, including the construction start date, must be given to DSA prior to start of construction.

DSA does not review drawings and specifications for compliance with Parts 3 (California Electrical Code), 4 (California Mechanical Code), and 5 (California Plumbing Code) of Title 24. It is the responsibility of the professional consultants named on the application to verify this compliance.

Please refer only to the boxes checked below which indicate applicable conditions specific to this project:

- ☒ Buildings constructed in accordance with approved drawings and specifications will meet minimum required standard given in Title 24, California Code of Regulations, for structural, and fire and life safety.
- ☐ Due to the nature of the building(s), certain precautions considered necessary to assure long service have not been required. In the condition as built, the building(s) will meet minimum required standards for structural, and fire and life safety. The owner must observe and correct deterioration in the building in order to maintain it in a safe condition.
- ☐ Your attention is drawn to the fact that this application was submitted under the provisions of Sections 39140/81130 of the Education Code which permit repairs or replacement of a fire damaged building to be made in accordance with the drawings and specifications previously approved by this office. The drawings and specifications approved for the reconstruction of this building conform to the drawings and specifications approved under application # _____.
- ☐ These drawings and specifications meet the rules, regulations, and building standards in effect at the time of the original approval and do not necessarily comply with rules, regulations, or building standards currently in effect.
- ☐ Due to the nature of the poles, certain precautions considered necessary to assure long service have not been insisted upon. In their condition as built, they will meet minimum required safety standards; however, your attention is directed to the comparatively short life of wood poles. It will be the responsibility of the owner to maintain them in a safe condition.

Application #: 02-112324

File #: 34-69

- ☐ Bleachers or grandstands constructed in accordance with approved drawings and specifications will meet minimum required standards for structural, and fire and life safety. The owner should provide for and require periodic safety inspections throughout the period of use to ensure framing and other parts have not been damaged or removed. On bleachers or grandstands having bolts, locking or safety devices, the owner shall require that all such components be properly tightened or locked prior to each use.
- ☐ This approval is for the part shown only since the drawings and specifications for the proposed work include only the portion of the building to be partially constructed on the subject site. It is understood that a separate application will be subsequently filed, together with drawings and specifications showing a plot plan and details of work necessary for completion. A contract for completion shall not be let before the written approval of such drawings has been obtained from the Department of General Services.
- ☐ The building(s) was designed to support a snow load of 0 pounds per square foot of roof area. Snow removal must be considered if the amount of snow exceeds that for which the building(s) was designed.
- ☒ This constitutes the written approval certifying that the drawings and specifications are in compliance with State regulations for the accommodation of the disabled which are required before letting any contract for construction. (See Section 4454, Government Code.)
- ☐ Your application for the construction of a relocatable building submitted under the provisions of Section 17293 of the Education Code is hereby approved. This approval certifies that the drawings and specifications are in compliance with state regulations for accommodation of the disabled, structural safety, and fire and life safety. This approval applies only to the drawings and specifications for the foundation system, anchorage of the overhead nonstructural elements, and site work related to this project. Documentation has been received indicating that the building was constructed after December 19, 1979, and bears a commercial coach insignia of approval from the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD). Confirmation that the construction of the HCD building conforms to the appropriate state regulations is done by others. (See Section 17307, Education Code and Section 4454, Government Code.)
- ☐ Deferred Approval(s) Items:

This Project has been classified as **Class 3**. An Inspector who is certified by DSA to inspect this class of project must be approved by DSA prior to start of construction.

Please refer to the above application number in all correspondence, reports, etc., in connection with this project.

Sincerely,



Digitally signed by DAN LEVERNIER
DN: st=CA, l=SACRAMENTO, o=California
Department of General Services, ou=Division of
the State Architect, ou=www.verisign.com/
repository/CPS Incorp. by Ref., LIAB.LTD(c)99,
title=REGIONAL MANAGER, cn=DAN
LEVERNIER, email=dan.levernier@dgs.ca.gov
Date: 2012.05.10 11:19:01 -07'00'

for Chester "Chet" Widom, FAIA
State Architect

cc:
Architect

OPSC - 6/27/12
ART - 6/27/12
f

Page 6 of 10

AS

~~57/67447-00-000~~ 57/67447-00-058

d. Is this a 6-8 school? ☐ Yes ☒ No

- If you answered yes, how many K-6 pupils reported above are sixth graders? _____
- Is this an Alternative Education School? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- e. Is this a use of grant request pursuant to Section 1859.77.2? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- Is this request pursuant to Section 1859.77.2(c)? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- If yes, enter date of successful bond election: _____
- Is this a use of grant request pursuant to Section 1859.77.3? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- Is this request pursuant to Section 1859.77.3(c)? ☐ Yes ☒ No
- If yes, enter date of successful bond election: _____

f. ☐ Facility Hardship (no pupils assigned)

- Toilets (sq. ft.) _____
Other (sq. ft.) _____

h. Project to be located on:

☐ Leased Site

☐ New Site

☐ Existing Site with Additional Acreage Acquired

☒ Existing Site with No Additional Acreage Acquired

NAME OF ELIGIBLE SCHOOL SITE(S)	NUMBER OF PORTABLES BEING REPLACED	NUMBER OF SITE SPECIFIC ELIGIBLE PUPILS BEING REQUESTED
Total		

3. **Number of Classrooms:** _____ **12**

Master Plan Acreage Site Size (Useable): _____

Recommended Site Size (Useable): _____ **9.6**

Existing Acres (Useable): _____ **8.9**

Proposed Acres (Useable): _____

4. ☐ Financial Hardship Request—Must Have Pre-Approval by OPSC

a. Therapy: _____

Toilets (sq. ft.) _____

Other (sq. ft.) _____

b. Multilevel Construction (CRS): _____

c. ☐ Project Assistance _____

d. Site Acquisition:

(1) 50 percent Actual Cost: \$ _____

(2) 50 percent Appraised Value: \$ _____

(3) 50 percent Relocation Cost: \$ _____

(4) 2 percent (min. \$25,000): \$ _____

(5) 50 percent DTSC Fee: \$ _____

**APPLICATION FOR FUNDING
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM**

SAB 50-04 (REV 12/11)

- e. 50 percent hazardous waste removal: \$ _____
☐ Response Action (RA)
- f. Site Development
☐ 50 percent Service-Site: \$ _____
☐ 50 percent Off-Site: \$ _____
☐ 50 percent Utilities: \$ _____
☐ General Site
- g. Facility Hardship Section 1859.82(a) or (b)
☐ Toilet (sq. ft.): _____
☐ Other (sq. ft.): _____
- h. ☐ Seismic Rehabilitation [Section 1859.82(a)] \$ _____
- i. Replacement area
☐ Toilet (sq. ft.): _____
☐ Other (sq. ft.): _____
- j. ☐ Energy Efficiency: _____ %
- k. ☐ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System
☐ Automatic Sprinkler System
- l. ☐ High Performance Incentive (Indicate Points): _____

6. Modernization Additional Grant Request—Modernization Only

- a. ☐ Project Assistance _____ %
- b. ☐ Energy Efficiency: _____ %
- c. ☐ Site Development—60 percent utilities: \$ _____
- d. ☐ Separate Apportionment for Reconfiguration
(for Small High School Program only): \$ _____
- e. ☒ Automatic Fire Detection/Alarm System
- f. ☐ High Performance Incentive (Indicate Points): _____

7. Excessive Cost Hardship Request**New Construction Only**

- ☐ Geographic Percent Factor: _____ %
- ☐ New School Project [Section 1859.83(c)(1)]
- ☐ New School Project [Section 1859.83(c)(2)]
- ☐ New School Project [Section 1859.83(c)(3)]
- ☐ Small Size Project
- ☐ Urban/Security/Impacted Site;
If a new site, \$ _____ per Useable Acre [Section 1859.83(d)(2)(C)]

Modernization or Charter School Facility Program Rehabilitation Only

- ☐ Rehabilitation/Mitigation [Section 1859.83(e)]: \$ _____
- ☐ Geographic Percent Factor: _____ %
- ☒ Accessibility/Fire Code
- ☒ 3 percent of base grant; or,
☐ 60 percent of minimum work \$ _____
- ☐ Number of 2-Stop Elevators: _____
- ☐ Number of Additional Stops: _____
- ☒ Small Size Project
- ☐ Urban/Security/Impacted site

8. Project Priority Funding Order—New Construction Only

Priority order of this application in relation to other new construction applications submitted by the district at the same time: # _____

Project meets:

- ☐ Density requirement pursuant to Section 1859.92(c)(3).
☐ Stock plans requirement pursuant to Section 1859.92(c)(4).
☐ Energy efficiency requirement pursuant to Section 1859.92(c)(6).

9. Prior Approval Under the LPP

New Construction: 22/ _____
Modernization: 77/ _____

10. Prior Apportionment Under the SFP

Site/Design—New Construction: 50/ _____
Design—Modernization: 57/ 67447-00-056

11. Preliminary Apportionment to Final Apportionment

Preliminary Apportionment Application Number: # _____

12. Alternative Developer Fee—New Construction Only

Alternative developer fee collected and reportable pursuant to Regulation Section 1859.77: \$ _____

13. Adjustment to New Construction Baseline Eligibility

- a. Classroom(s) provided:
- | Additional | Replacement |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| K-6: _____ | K-6: _____ |
| 7-8: _____ | 7-8: _____ |
| 9-12: _____ | 9-12: _____ |
| Non-Severe: _____ | Non-Severe: _____ |
| Severe: _____ | Severe: _____ |

Construction Contract(s) for the project signed on: _____

14. Pending Reorganization Election—New Construction Only ☐ Yes ☐ No**15. Joint-Use Facility/Leased Property**

- a. ☐ Joint-Use Facility
- b. ☐ Leased Property

16. Project Progress Dates

- a. Construction Contract(s) awarded on: N/A
(If the space provided is not sufficient for all applicable contract dates, please list all dates on a separate attachment to this form.)
- b. Notice(s) to Proceed issued on: N/A
- c. If the Construction Contract(s) was awarded prior to January 1, 2012, have you initiated and enforced an LCP approved by the DIR pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.7 for this project? ☐ Yes ☐ No

17. Prevailing Wage Monitoring and Enforcement Costs

If the Construction Contract(s) was or will be awarded on or after January 1, 2012, please indicate which monitoring requirements was or will be used, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3:

- ☒ DIR CMU Administered
☐ DIR approved District LCP
☐ Collective bargaining agreement, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b)(3)

18. Construction Delivery Method

- ☒ Design-Bid-Build
☐ Design-Build
☐ Developer Built
☐ Lease Lease-Back
☐ Energy Performance Contract
☐ This project includes or will include piggyback contract(s) as defined in Section 1859.2
☐ Other: _____

19. Career Technical Education Funds Request

Will CTE Funds be requested for classroom(s) included in the plans and specifications for this project? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Number of CTE classroom(s): _____

20. Overcrowding Relief Grant Narrative

21. Architect of Record or Licensed Architect Certification

I certify as the architect of record for the project or as a licensed architect that:

- The P&S for this project were submitted to the OPSC by electronic medium (i.e., CD-ROM, zip disk or diskette) or as an alternative, if the request is for a modernization Grant, the P&S were submitted in hard copy to the OPSC.
- Any portion of the P&S requiring review and approval by the Division of the State Architect (DSA) were approved by the DSA on 5/3/12 (enter DSA approval date).
- Any portion of the P&S not requiring review and approval by the DSA meets the requirements of the California Code of Regulations, Title 24, including any hand-capped access and fire code requirements.
- If the request is for a Modernization or Charter School Facility Program Rehabilitation Grant, the P&S include the demolition of more classrooms than those to be constructed in the project, the difference is _____ classroom(s). (Indicate N/A if there are none.)
- If the request is for a Modernization or Charter School Facility Program Rehabilitation Grant, the P&S include the construction of more classrooms than those to be demolished in the project, the difference is _____ classroom(s). (Indicate N/A if there are none.)

ARCHITECT OF RECORD OR LICENSED ARCHITECT (PRINT NAME)

Brian J. Maytum - Principal, AIA

SIGNATURE



DATE

05/31/2012

22. Architect of Record or Design Professional Certification

I certify as the architect of record for the project or the appropriate design professional, that:

- If the request is for a New Construction Grant, not including the ORG, I have developed a cost estimate of the proposed project which indicates that the estimated construction cost of the work in the P&S including deferred items (if any) relating to the proposed project, is at least 60 percent of the total grant amount provided by the State and the district's matching share, less site acquisition costs and the High Performance Base Incentive Grant. This cost estimate does not include site acquisition, planning, tests, inspection, or furniture and equipment and is available at the district for review by the OPSC.
- If the request is for a Modernization or Charter School Facility Program Rehabilitation Grant, I have developed a cost estimate of the proposed project which indicates that the estimated construction cost of the work in the P&S, including deferred items and interim housing (if any) relating to the proposed project, is at least 60 percent of the total grant amount provided by the State and the district's matching share, less the High Performance Base Incentive Grant. This cost estimate does not include planning, tests, inspection or furniture and equipment and is available at the district for review by the OPSC.

ARCHITECT OF RECORD OR DESIGN PROFESSIONAL (PRINT NAME)

Brian J. Maytum - Principal, AIA

SIGNATURE



DATE

05/31/2012

23. Certification

I certify, as the District Representative, that the information reported on this form, with the exception of items 21 and 22, is true and correct and that:

- I am an authorized representative of the district as authorized by the governing board of the district; and,
- A resolution or other appropriate documentation supporting this application under Chapter 12.5, Part 10, Division 1, commencing with Section 17070.10, et. seq., of the Education Code was adopted by the school district's governing board or the designee of the Superintendent of Public Instruction on, 2/12/2002; and,
- The district has established a "Restricted Maintenance Account" for exclusive purpose of providing ongoing and major maintenance of school buildings and has developed an ongoing and major maintenance plan that complies with and is implemented under the provisions of Education Code Section 17070.75 and 17070.77 (refer to Sections 1859.100 through 1859.102); and,
- Pursuant to Education Code Section 17070.755, the district has made a priority of the funds in the restricted maintenance account, established pursuant to Education Code Section 17070.75, to ensure that facilities are functional and meet local hygiene standards; and,
- The district has considered the feasibility of the joint use of land and facilities with other governmental agencies in order to minimize school facility costs; and,
- If this funding request is for the modernization of portable classrooms eligible for an additional apportionment pursuant to Education Code Section 17073.15, the district certifies that (check the applicable box below):

- ☐ 1. The state modernization funds will be used to replace the portable classrooms and permanently remove the displaced portables from the classroom use within six months of the filing of the Notice of Completion for the project; or,
- ☐ 2. It has provided documentation to the Office of Public School Construction which indicates that modernizing the portable classrooms eligible for an additional apportionment is better use of public resources than the replacement of these facilities.

APPLICATION FOR FUNDING SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

- Facilities to be modernized have not been previously modernized with Lease-Purchase Program, Proposition 1A Funds or School Facility Program state funds; and,
- Facilities to be rehabilitated under the Charter School Facility Program previously funded with School Facility Program State funds meet the requirements of Section 1859.163.6; and,
- All contracts entered on or after November 4, 1998 for the service of any architect structural engineer or other design professional for any work under the project have been obtained pursuant to a competitive process that is consistent with the requirements of Chapter 10 (commencing with Section 4525) of Division 5, of Title 1, of the Government Code; and,
- If this request is for new construction funding, the district has received approval of the site and the plans from the CDE. Plan approval is not required if request is for separate design apportionment; and,
- If this request is for modernization or Charter School Facility Program Rehabilitation funding, the district has received approval of the plans for the project from the CDE. Plan approval is not required if request is for separate design apportionment; and,
- The district has or will comply with the Public Contract Code regarding all laws governing the use of force account labor; and,
- This district has or will comply with Education Code Section 17076.11 regarding at least a 3 percent expenditure goal for disabled veteran business enterprises; and,
- The district matching funds required pursuant to Sections 1859.77.1 or 1859.79 has either been expended by the district, deposited in the County School Facility Fund or will be expended by the district prior to the notice of completion for the project; and,
- The district has received the necessary approval of the plans and specifications from the Division of the State Architect unless the request is for a separate site and/or design apportionment; and,
- If the district is requesting site acquisition funds as part of this application, the district has complied with Sections 1859.74 through 1859.75.1 as appropriate; and,
- With the exception of an apportionment made pursuant to Section 1859.75.1, the district understands that the lack of substantial progress toward increasing the pupil capacity or renovation of its facilities within 18 months of receipt of any funding shall be cause for the rescission of the unexpended funds (refer to Section 1859.105); and,
- If the apportionment for this project was made pursuant to Section 1859.75.1, the district understands that the lack of substantial progress toward increasing the pupil capacity or renovation of its facilities within 12 months of receipt of any funding shall be cause for the rescission of the unexpended funds (refer to Section 1859.105.1); and,
- The district understands that funds not released within 18 months of apportionment shall be rescinded and the application shall be denied (refer to Section 1859.90); and,
- The statements set forth in this application and supporting documents are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief; and,
- All school facilities purchased or newly constructed under the project for use by pupils who are individuals with exceptional needs, as defined in Education Code Section 56026, shall be designed and located on the school site so as to maximize interaction between those individuals with exceptional needs and other pupils as appropriate to the needs of both; and,
- This form is an exact duplicate (verbatim) of the form provided by the OPSC. In the event a conflict should exist, the language in the OPSC form will prevail; and,
- The district understands that some or all of the State funding for the project must be returned to the State as a result of an audit pursuant to Sections 1859.105, 1859.105.1, 1859.106; and,
- The district has complied with the provisions of Sections 1859.76 and 1859.79.2 and that the portion of the project funded by the State does not contain work specifically prohibited in those Sections; and,
- If the SFP grants will be used for the construction or modernization of school facilities on leased land, the district has entered into a lease agreement for the leased property that meets the requirements of Section 1859.22; and,
- If the application contains a "Use of New Construction Grant" request, the district has adopted a school board resolution and housing plan at a public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting of the governing board on _____ as specified in Sections 1859.77.2, or 1859.77.3, as appropriate. The district's approved housing plan is as indicated (check all that apply):
 - ☐ 1. The district will construct or acquire facilities for housing the pupils with funding not otherwise available to the SFP as a district match within five years of project approval by the SAB and the district must identify the source of the funds. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(a) and (b) and 1859.77.3(a) and (b)]
 - ☐ 2. The district will utilize higher district loading standards providing the loading standards are within the approved district's teacher contract and do not exceed 33:1 per classroom. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(a) and (b) and 1859.77.3(a) and (b)]
 - ☐ 3. The pupils requested from a different grade level will be housed in classrooms at an existing school in the district which will have its grade level changed, to the grade level requested, at the completion of the proposed SFP project. [Applicable for Sections 1859.77.2(b) and 1859.77.3(b)]
- If the district requested additional funding for fire code requirements pursuant to Sections 1859.71.2 or 1859.78.4, the district will include the automatic fire detection/alarm system and/or automatic sprinkler system in the project prior to completion of the project; and
- The district has consulted with the career technical advisory committee established pursuant to Education Code Section 8070 and the need for vocational and career technical facilities is being adequately met in accordance with Education Code Sections 51224, 51225.3(b), and 51228(b), and 52336.1; and,
- If the district is requesting an Additional Grant for Energy Efficiency pursuant to Sections 1859.71.3 or 1859.78.5, the increased costs for the energy efficiency components in the project exceeds the amount of funding otherwise available to the district; and,
- If this application is submitted after January 1, 2004 for modernization funding, the district has considered the potential for the presence of lead-containing materials in the modernization project and will follow all relevant federal, state, and local standards for the management of any identified lead; and
- The district has initiated and enforced an LCP that has been approved by the DIR, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.7, if the project is funded from Propositions 47 or 55 and the Notice to Proceed for the construction phase of the project is issued on or after April 1, 2003 and before January 1, 2012; and,
- The district will contract or has contracted with the DIR for prevailing wage monitoring and enforcement pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(a), if the construction contract is awarded on or after January 1, 2012 and the district has not obtained a waiver for the requirement, pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.3(b). The district understands that if it fails to meet this requirement, it will be required to repay all state bond funds received including interest; and,

**APPLICATION FOR FUNDING
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM**

SAB 50-04 (REV 12/11)

- Beginning with the 2005/2006 fiscal year, the district has complied with Education Code Section 17070.75(e) by establishing a facilities inspection system to ensure that each of its schools is maintained in good repair; and
- If this application is submitted pursuant to Section 1859.93.2, the district certifies that it has an academic reform strategy scored by the CDE, and is available at the district office for OPSC verification; and
- If this application is submitted pursuant to Section 1859.93.2, the district certifies the enrollment at the Small High School will not exceed 500 pupils for a minimum of two complete school years after the Occupancy of the last Small High School funded, as outlined in Section 1859.104(e)(2); and
- If this application is submitted pursuant to Section 1859.78.9, the district certifies the enrollment at the resulting Small High Schools will not exceed 500 pupils for a minimum of two complete school years after the Occupancy of the Small High Schools; and
- If this application is submitted pursuant to Section 1859.78.9 or Section 1859.93.2, the district certifies that it will meet all reporting requirements as specified in Section 1859.104(e)(1) and/or (2); and
- If this application is submitted pursuant to Section 1859.180, the district certifies that within six months of occupancy of the permanent classrooms, it will remove the replaced portables from the eligible school site and K-12 grade classroom use with the exception of schools described in Education Code Section 17079.30(c), and
- The district has considered the feasibility of using designs and materials for the new construction or modernization project that promote the efficient use of energy and water, maximum use of natural light and indoor air quality, the use of recycled materials and materials that emit a minimum of toxic substances, the use of acoustics conducive to teaching and learning, and the other characteristics of high performance schools; and
- If the district is requesting an additional grant for high performance incentive funding, the school district governing board must have a resolution on file that demonstrates support for the high performance incentive grant request and the intent to incorporate high performance features in future facilities projects.

SIGNATURE OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE



DATE

5/31/2012

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
APPLICATION TRANSACTIONDETAIL
Application: 57/67447-00-058

County: SACRAMENTO District: SAN JUAN UNIFIED
Site: TRAJAN ELEMENTARY

-- HOLD STATUS -- -- MISC. STATUS --
ART: N Fin Hrdship: N State Share: 60
PM: N Appeals: N
PVT: N Accounting: N
Real Estate: N Audit: N

Trans Type	Trans Sequence	Document Date	Bond Fund	Apportionment Amount	Expenditure Amount	Comment	Keyed Date	Keyed By
TRUE UNF	G	01/23/2013	112-012	Not applicable		01/25/2013	fstathop	
TRUE UNF	G	03/20/2013	112-012	Not applicable		CCI 03/21/2013	pfelsegh	
TRUE UNF	G	06/05/2017	112-012	Not applicable		06/06/2017	cchan	
UNFUNDED	G	06/05/2017	123-123	Not applicable		951-570 06/06/2017	cchan	
RESO	G	09/06/2017	051-570	454,883.00		09/11/2017	rmoy	
CLAIM SC	0000117	11/09/2017	051-570		454,883.00	11/08/2017	suxu	
				454,883.00	454,883.00			

LEASE-PURCHASE PROJECT NUMBER(S): NONE

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

Application: 57/67447-00-058

County: SACRAMENTO District: SAN JUAN UNIFIED
 Site: TRAJAN ELEMENTARY

-- HOLD STATUS -- -- MISC. STATUS --
 ART: N Fin Hrdship: N State Share: 60
 PM: N Appeals: N
 PVT: N Accounting: N
 Real Estate: N Audit: N

Category	Apportionment	Expenditure	Balance
New Construction	0.00	0.00	0.00
Modernization	412,143.00	412,143.00	0.00
SDC Non Severe	0.00	0.00	0.00
SDC Severe	0.00	0.00	0.00
SDC Therapy	0.00	0.00	0.00
Secondary SDC Non Severe	0.00	0.00	0.00
Secondary SDC Severe	0.00	0.00	0.00
Secondary SDC Therapy	0.00	0.00	0.00
Multi Level Const.	0.00	0.00	0.00
Site Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00
Site Relocation	0.00	0.00	0.00
Toxic	0.00	0.00	0.00
Site Other	0.00	0.00	0.00
Service Site	0.00	0.00	0.00
General Site	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	0.00	0.00	0.00
Utilities	0.00	0.00	0.00
Facility Hardship Toilet	0.00	0.00	0.00
Facility Hardship Other	0.00	0.00	0.00
Geographic Index	0.00	0.00	0.00
Small School	16,486.00	16,486.00	0.00
New School	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rehabilitation	0.00	0.00	0.00
Urban Security	0.00	0.00	0.00
Fire Code Compliance	12,364.00	12,364.00	0.00
Elevator 2 Stop	0.00	0.00	0.00
Elevator Add. Stop	0.00	0.00	0.00
Financial Hardship	0.00	0.00	0.00
Alternate Dev. Fee	0.00	0.00	0.00
SDC Therapy Toilet	0.00	0.00	0.00
Project Assistance	0.00	0.00	0.00
Site DTSC Fee	0.00	0.00	0.00
Site Hazardous Removal	0.00	0.00	0.00
Replacement Area Toilet	0.00	0.00	0.00
Replacement Area Other	0.00	0.00	0.00
Fire Detection/Alarm	13,209.00	13,209.00	0.00
Fire Sprinklers	0.00	0.00	0.00
Energy	0.00	0.00	0.00
High Performance	0.00	0.00	0.00
50 Year Old Modernization	0.00	0.00	0.00
Labor Compliance Program	0.00	0.00	0.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring	681.00	681.00	0.00
Inflation Adjustment	0.00	0.00	0.00
Excessive Hazmat Removal	0.00	0.00	0.00
Joint Use Extra Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00
CSFA Lease Amount	0.00	0.00	0.00
CTE Equipment	0.00	0.00	0.00
CTE Loan	0.00	0.00	0.00
STATE TOTAL	454,883.00	454,883.00	0.00
DISTRICT CONTRIBUTION	303,255.00		

PROJECT TOTAL 758,138.00

ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE 0.00
 INTEREST 0.00

SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM

Application: 57/67447-00-058

County: SACRAMENTO District: SAN JUAN UNIFIED
Site: TRAJAN ELEMENTARY

-- HOLD STATUS --
ART: N Fin Hrdship: N State Share: 60
PM: N Appeals: N
PVT: N Accounting: N
Real Estate: N Audit: N

-- MISC. STATUS --

Category	Apportionment	Expenditure	Balance
Fund	Apportionment	Expenditure	Balance
Fund 051-570	454,883.00	454,883.00	0.00
TOTAL	454,883.00	454,883.00	0.00

SCHOOL DISTRICT DATA

Application No: 57/67447-00-058
 School District: San Juan Unified

County: Sacramento
 School Name: Trajan Elementary

PROJECT DATA

Type of Project: Elementary School
 Pupils Assigned Under 50 Yrs: K-6: 111
 7-8:
 9-12:
 Non-Severe:
 Severe:
 Financial Hardship Requested: No
 Alternative Education School: No
 Application Filing Basis: Site Specific
 Recommended Acres: 9.6
 Existing Acres: 8.9

ADJUSTED GRANT DATA

Modernization Grant - Under 50 Yrs	\$ 412,143.00
Fire Detection Alarm	13,209.00
Prevailing Wage Monitoring	681.00
Small Size Project	16,486.00
Accessibility/Fire Code Req.	12,364.00
Total State Share (60%)	454,883.00
District Share (40%)	303,255.00
Total Project Cost	\$ 758,138.00

PROJECT FINANCING

<u>State Share</u>	
This Project	\$ 454,883.00
<u>District Share</u>	
Cash Contribution	303,255.00
Financial Hardship	
Total Project Cost	\$ 758,138.00

HISTORY OF PROJECT COST AND APPORTIONMENT

	Fund Code	Proposition	Previously Authorized	Authorized This Action	State Apportionment This Action
<u>State Share</u>					
Modernization/Additional Grant	951-570	51	\$ 454,883.00	\$ (454,883.00)	
Modernization/Additional Grant	051-570	51		454,883.00	\$ 454,883.00
<u>District Share</u>					
Cash Contribution			303,255.00		
Total			\$ 758,138.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 454,883.00

Funding Source: Proposition 51 Bonds/2016-Nov.

Pursuant to the Board's action on September 6, 2017, the District is required to submit a complete Fund Release Authorization (Form SAB 50-05) on or before December 5, 2017; otherwise, the apportionment will be rescinded without further Board action and will receive a new Unfunded Approval date of December 5, 2017.

The Form SAB 50-05 submittal must contain an original signature from a designated District Representative and must be physically received by the Office of Public School Construction at 707 Third Street, West Sacramento, CA 95605 prior to the close of business on December 5, 2017.

The school district is responsible for ensuring the project is compliant with all Prevailing Wage Monitoring and/or Labor Compliance Program requirements at the time construction contracts were executed and/or construction commenced.

The District shall ensure that it is in compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and certifications it made on the program forms.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
EXPENDITURE REPORT
SCHOOL FACILITY PROGRAM
 SAB 50-06 (REV 12/10)

STATE ALLOCATION BOARD
 OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION

Page 2 of 2

SCHOOL DISTRICT San Juan Unified		COUNTY Sacramento	APPLICATION NUMBER 57/67447-00-058
BUSINESS ADDRESS/CITY 5320 Hemlock St, Sacramento, CA 95841			REPORT NUMBER 1 - Final
SCHOOL NAME Trajan Elementary	DSA NUMBER 02-112324	CDS NUMBER 34674476103816	PERIOD ENDING December 31, 2017
PREPARER'S NAME (TYPED) Cherie Chenoweth	PREPARER'S TITLE (TYPED) Business Operations Supervisor, FM&T	TELEPHONE NUMBER/E-MAIL ADDRESS 916.979.8629	
DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE NAME (TYPED) Brett Mitchell	DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE TITLE (TYPED) Director, Facilities Construction & Modernization	TELEPHONE NUMBER/E-MAIL ADDRESS 916.971.5780	

1. Percent of Project Complete: 100%
 2. Notice to Proceed Issue Date: 06/28/2012
 3. Notice of Completion Date: 09/13/2013 (substantial)


	4. Previous Report	5. Report Period	6. Total to Date
District Funds or Joint-Use Partner(s) Contribution	\$ 0.00	\$ 900,610.30	\$ 900,610.30
State Funds	\$ 0.00	\$ 454,883.00	\$ 454,883.00
Interest Earned	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Project Expenditures	\$ 0.00	\$ 1,355,493.30	\$ 1,355,493.30

7. If applicable, list the amount of savings from this financial hardship project that will be used to reduce the grant of a future School Facility Program financial hardship project which has not yet been apportioned. Attach written declaration of savings pursuant to Section 1859.103.

Project Number _____ Amount of Savings \$ _____
 Project Number _____ Amount of Savings \$ _____

I certify, as the District Representative, that the information reported on this form is true and correct and that:

- I am designated as an authorized district representative by the governing board of the district; and,
- under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, the foregoing statements are true and correct, and that the Public Contract Code was adhered to in the construction of this project; and,
- this form is an exact duplicate (verbatim) of the form provided by Office of Public School Construction. In the event a conflict should exist, then the language in the OPSC form will prevail.

SIGNATURE OF DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE 	DATE February 16, 2018
---	----------------------------------

EXPENDITURE WORKSHEET
DETAILED LISTING OF PROJECT EXPENDITURES
PAGE 3 - PLANNING

SCHOOL DISTRICT:				COUNTY:		PROJECT NUMBER:		REPORT NUMBER:			
San Juan Unified				Sacramento		57/67447-00-058		1 - Final			
DATE	PAYEE	WARRANT NUMBER	FUND CODE	OBJECT CODE	ARCHITECT/ENGINEERING FEES	DSA FEES	CDE FEES	ENERGY ANALYSIS	PRELIMINARY TESTS	OTHER COSTS	DESCRIPTION/PURPOSE
12/7/2011	DIVISION OF THE STATE ARCHITECTS	98655472	23.5	8500		12,100.00					Plan review fee
1/13/2012	PM Vanir Service (BC 11)	98657229	23.5	8500						2,908.00	Project management
2/3/2012	BROWNIES BL28	98659020	23.5	8500						252.51	Printing
2/8/2012	DIVISION OF THE STATE ARCHITECTS	98659537	23.5	8500		500.00					Plan review fee
2/8/2012	PM Vanir Services (BC 11)	98659200	23.5	8500						2,295.00	Project management
2/28/2012	DIVISION OF THE STATE ARCHITECTS	98660986	23.5	8500		837.87					Plan review fee
2/28/2012	NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS	98661199	23.5	8500	17,784.75					5,393.00	Architectural design fee
3/28/2012	PM Service Vanir (BL11)	98661655	23.5	8500							Project management
4/3/2012	NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS	98663937	23.5	8500	2,371.30						Architectural design fee
4/11/2012	ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP I	98664359	23.5	8500					989.00		Hazardous materials testing and/or abatement consulting
4/12/2012	PM Vanir Service (BC11)	98663938	23.5	8500						3,041.25	Project management
5/17/2012	PM Vanir Services (BL #1)	98667216	23.5	8500						3,982.50	Project management
5/23/2012	CITRUS HEIGHTS WATER DISTRICT	98667631	23.5	8500						260.00	City plan review fee
5/23/2012	SACRAMENTO METRO FIRE DEPT	98667718	23.5	8500						494.50	Fire review
5/24/2012	BL28 BROWNIES 170-9495-3	98667629	23.5	8500						48.41	Printing
5/24/2012	BL29 ONTRAC 170-9495-3A	98668279	23.5	8500						51.28	Bid docs
6/6/2012	DIVISION OF THE STATE ARCHITECTS	98668784	23.5	8500		750.00					Plan review fee
6/14/2012	PM Services Vanir BL #1	98669647	23.5	8500						1,620.00	Project management
6/19/2012	NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS	98670397	23.5	8500	3,556.95						Architectural design fee
6/19/2012	NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS	98670397	23.5	8500	6,775.00						Architectural design fee
6/25/2012	BL28 BROWNIES 170-9495-3	98670851	23.5	8500						20.43	Printing
6/26/2012	BL28 Brownies 170-9495-3	98670851	23.5	8500						1,411.68	Printing
6/26/2012	PM Services Vanir (BL #1)	98671095	23.5	8500						14,715.00	Project management
6/30/2012	BL28 ONTRAC 170-9495-3A	98671810	23.5	8500						78.47	Bid docs
6/30/2012	BL29 OnTrac 170-9495-3A	98671810	23.5	8500					381.00	37.33	Bid docs
6/30/2012	ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP I	98671984	23.5	8500							Hazardous materials testing and/or abatement consulting
6/30/2012	PM Vanir Services (BL #1)	98674492	23.5	8500						6,885.00	Project management
8/22/2012	ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP I	98673939	23.5	8500					823.00		Hazardous materials testing and/or abatement consulting
9/7/2012	BL76 shop stock 170-9495	98672801	23.5	8500						88.00	Printing
9/12/2012	ENTEK CONSULTING GROUP I	98675177	23.5	8500					733.00		Hazardous materials testing and/or abatement consulting
9/17/2012	BL28 brownies 170-9495-3	98674979	23.5	8500						380.72	Printing
11/7/2012	BL28 brownies 170-9495-3	98674979	23.5	8500						676.33	Printing
11/2012	BL28 brownies 170-9495-3	98674979	23.5	8500						1,043.93	Printing
9/19/2012	NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS	98675618	23.5	8500	3,353.57						Architectural design fee
10/10/2012	CONSOLIDATED ENGINEERING	98676950	23.5	8500	142.80						Engineering fees
10/10/2012	NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS	98676955	23.5	8500	9,853.57						Architectural design fee
10/29/2012	Int fr FD 35 to projects	TF-130616	23.5	8500						33.00	Fund transfer
10/29/2012	Int fr FD 35 to projects	TF-130616	23.5	8500						33.00	Fund transfer
11/7/2012	NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS	98679059	23.5	8500	3,353.57						Architectural design fee
11/8/2012	PM Vanir Services (BL 11)	98678538	23.5	8500						240.00	Project management
11/28/2012	CONSOLIDATED ENGINEERING	98680160	23.5	8500	142.80						Engineering fees
12/5/2012	NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS	98680764	23.5	8500	3,353.57						Architectural design fee
12/19/2012	CONSOLIDATED ENGINEERING	98681977	23.5	8500	142.80						Engineering fees
1/2/2013	NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS	98682475	23.5	8500	3,353.57						Architectural design fee
1/17/2013	Mizinski Contracting BL	98683270	23.5	8500	1,800.00						Engineering fees
2/13/2013	NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS	98685245	23.5	8500	3,353.57						Architectural design fee
2/27/2013	BL 13.5 Mizinski Service	98686230	23.5	8500	375.00						Engineering fees
3/6/2013	CONSOLIDATED ENGINEERING	98686857	23.5	8500	149.94						Engineering fees
3/20/2013	BL 13.5 Mizinski Engineer	98687388	23.5	8500	750.00						Engineering fees
3/20/2013	CONSOLIDATED ENGINEERING	98687972	23.5	8500	317.52						Engineering fees

SCHOOL DISTRICT:		COUNTY:	PROJECT NUMBER:		REPORT NUMBER:	
San Juan Unified		Sacramento	57167447-00-058		1 - Final	
3/20/2013	NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS	8500	23.5	98687975	3,353.57	Architectural design fee
4/10/2013	NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS	8500	23.5	98689558	3,353.57	Architectural design fee
4/24/2013	NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS	8500	23.5	98690838	3,353.57	Architectural design fee
5/14/2013	BL13.5 Mizinski Services	8500	23.5	98692050	750.00	Engineering fees
5/20/2013	BL13.5 Mizinski Engineer	8500	23.5	98692664	450.00	Engineering fees
6/18/2013	BL 13.5 Mizinski	8500	23.5	98695492	262.50	Engineering fees
6/18/2013	NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS	8500	23.5	98695494	579.87	Architectural design fee
6/18/2013	NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS	8500	23.5	98695494	1,418.75	Architectural design fee
6/24/2013	NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS	8500	23.5	98696361	1,693.75	Architectural design fee
6/29/2013	BL13.5 Mizinski Contract	8500	23.5	98696359	450.00	Engineering fees
7/24/2013	DIVISION OF THE STATE ARCHITECT	8500	23.5	98697126	2,020.81	Plan review fee
9/6/2013	DIVISION OF THE STATE ARCHITECT	8500	23.5	98697126	(2,020.81)	Plan review fee
3/25/2013	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION	8500	23.5	98700864	596.88	Plan review fee
11/8/2013	BL28 Brownies/ARC	8500	23.5	98702422		Printing
1/22/2014	MIZINSKI CONTRACTING &	8500	23.5	98709659	75.00	Engineering fees
1/22/2014	MIZINSKI CONTRACTING &	8500	23.5	98709659	225.00	Engineering fees
1/22/2014	MIZINSKI CONTRACTING &	8500	23.5	98709659	375.00	Engineering fees
3/12/2014	KMM SERVICES INC	8500	23.5	98713349		Fire life safety consultation
4/9/2014	BL 28 ARC/BROWNIES	8500	23.5	98714948		Printing
4/17/2014	BL 29 ONTRAC	8500	23.5	98716015		Bid docs
5/28/2014	MIZINSKI CONTRACTING &	8500	23.5	98719531	450.00	Engineering fees
5/29/2014	BL 29 ONTRAC DELIVERY FE	8500	23.5	98719429		Bid docs
6/12/2014	BL29 OnTrac	8500	23.5	9872512		Bid docs
10/1/2014	NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS	8500	23.5	98727843	3,387.50	Architectural design fee
TOTAL PLANNING COSTS					\$47,018.37	
TOTAL PLANNING COSTS					\$2,926.00	
					\$0.00	
					\$596.88	
					\$14,187.87	
					\$81,108.36	
TOTAL PLANNING COSTS					\$145,837.48	

Fund Source Identification:
FUND NO. _____

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF PUBLIC
SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION
2018 FEB 27 PM 4:51
MAIL ROOM

EXPENDITURE WORKSHEET
DETAILED LISTING OF PROJECT EXPENDITURES
PAGE 4 - CONSTRUCTION

SCHOOL DISTRICT:				COUNTY:		PROJECT NUMBER:		REPORT NUMBER:						
San Juan Unified				Sacramento		57/67447-00-058		1 - Final						
DATE	PAYEE	WARRANT NUMBER	FUND CODE	OBJECT CODE	MAIN CONSTRUCTION	CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT	DEMOLITION	OTHER CONSTRUCTION	INTERIM HOUSING	LABOR COMPLIANCE PROGRAM	INSPECTIONS	CONSTRUCTION TESTS	FURNITURE & EQUIPMENT	DESCRIPTION/PURPOSE
11/1/2011	CM/CA Vanir Services	98652883	23.5	8500		180.00								Construction management
12/7/2011	ACE ASPHALT	98655179	23.5	8500				1,750.00						Construction
12/14/2011	CA/CM/Reim Vanir Service	98654881	23.5	8500		252.00								Construction management
1/13/2012	CM/CA Vanir Service (BC	98657229	23.5	8500		216.00								Construction management
2/8/2012	CM/CA Vanir Services (BC	98659200	23.5	8500		344.00								System networks
3/14/2012	ANGUS-HAMER INC.	98662041	23.5	8500				7,160.00						Construction management
3/28/2012	CM/CA/Reim Vanir (BL25)	98661655	23.5	8500		526.01								Construction management
4/12/2012	CM/CA/Misc Vanir Svc (B	98663938	23.5	8500		288.00								Fund code change
4/13/2012	Trajan 170-9495 3A FD 35	JE-120730	23.5	8500	17,848.58									Fund code change
4/13/2012	Chg OB fr 5779 to 7619/8	JE-120730	23.5	8500	17,848.58									Construction management
5/17/2012	CM/CA Vanir Services (BL	98667216	23.5	8500		455.91								Construction management
6/14/2012	CM/CA Services Vanir BL	98669647	23.5	8500		261.00					1,040.00			Inspections
5/2012	SHIMANSKY, JAY	98671093	23.5	8500										System networks
5/2012	ANGUS-HAMER INC.	98671086	23.5	8500				1,795.00						Construction management
6/26/2012	CM/CA Vanir Services (BL	98671095	23.5	8500		2,623.37								Construction
6/30/2012	SIDELIFTER.COM INC.	98671877	23.5	8500				577.50	11,685.12					Portable facilities
6/30/2012	MOBILE MODULAR MANAGEMEN	98673183	23.5	8500										Construction management
6/30/2012	CM & CA Vanir Service (B	98674492	23.5	8500		5,520.52								System networks
6/30/2012	ANGUS-HAMER INC.	98671978	23.5	8500				597.00						Portable facilities
8/8/2012	MOBILE MODULAR MANAGEMEN	98673183	23.5	8500					884.88		4,485.00			Inspections
8/15/2012	SHIMANSKY, JAY	98673601	23.5	8500										Main construction
8/15/2012	HOMETOWN CONSTRUCTION	98673643	23.5	8500	30,472.00									Main construction
8/15/2012	HOMETOWN CONSTRUCTION	98673643	23.5	8500	102,805.00									Portable facilities
9/12/2012	MOBILE MODULAR MANAGEMEN	98675052	23.5	8500					987.00					Portable facilities
9/12/2012	MOBILE MODULAR MANAGEMEN	98675052	23.5	8500					1,243.00					Force account labor - construction
9/14/2012	PY-CLHRLY 09/14/2012	PY-091412	23.5	8500		6,254.20		124.36						Construction management
9/18/2012	Vanir Services CM/CA (bl	98675179	23.5	8500										Inspections
9/19/2012	SHIMANSKY, JAY	98675620	23.5	8500					987.00		7,085.00			Portable facilities
9/19/2012	MOBILE MODULAR MANAGEMEN	98675451	23.5	8500										Main construction
9/19/2012	HOMETOWN CONSTRUCTION	98675659	23.5	8500	2,488.00									Main construction
9/19/2012	HOMETOWN CONSTRUCTION	98675659	23.5	8500	157,621.15									System networks
10/3/2012	ANGUS-HAMER INC.	98676426	23.5	8500				398.00			4,875.00			Inspections
10/3/2012	SHIMANSKY, JAY	98676958	23.5	8500										Portable facilities
10/10/2012	MOBILE MODULAR MANAGEMEN	98676806	23.5	8500					987.00					Construction management
10/10/2012	CM/CA Vanir services (BL	98676427	23.5	8500		12,363.45								System networks
10/24/2012	ANGUS-HAMER INC.	98678055	23.5	8500				398.00						Main construction
10/30/2012	HOMETOWN CONSTRUCTION	98678577	23.5	8500	267,296.05									Construction management
11/8/2012	CM-CA Vanir Services (BL	98678538	23.5	8500		9,959.41								Inspections
11/14/2012	SHIMANSKY, JAY	98679630	23.5	8500										Portable facilities
11/20/2012	MOBILE MODULAR MANAGEMEN	98679839	23.5	8500					987.00		6,240.00			Construction management
11/29/2012	CM/CA Vanir Services (BL	98680164	23.5	8500		11,511.08								System networks
12/5/2012	ANGUS-HAMER INC.	98680762	23.5	8500										Inspections
12/12/2012	SHIMANSKY, JAY	98681349	23.5	8500										Portable facilities
12/19/2012	MOBILE MODULAR MANAGEMEN	98681791	23.5	8500					987.00		5,915.00			Main construction
12/19/2012	HOMETOWN CONSTRUCTION	98682042	23.5	8500										System networks
12/19/2012	HOMETOWN CONSTRUCTION	98681974	23.5	8500	159,683.75			398.00						Inspections
12/19/2012	ANGUS-HAMER INC.	98682756	23.5	8500										Construction management
1/9/2013	SHIMANSKY, JAY	98682757	23.5	8500		8,506.67								Force account labor - construction
1/10/2013	CM/CA Vanir Services BL	PY-011513	23.5	8500				1,008.13						System networks
1/15/2013	PY-CLHRLY 01/15/2013	PY-011513	23.5	8500				398.00			4,485.00			Inspections
1/30/2013	ANGUS-HAMER INC.	98684312	23.5	8500										Construction management

