



# **Report on the Progress of School Facility Needs Assessments Required by the Williams Settlement**

**School Facilities  
Assessment Grant Program**

**Report to the Governor and  
Legislature**

**June 2005**

*Prepared for the Governor and the  
Legislature by the*

**State Allocation Board**

*and the*

**Office of Public School Construction**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface .....	3
Introduction .....	3
About the State Allocation Board/Office of Public School Construction .....	3
Summary of the Program .....	4
Part 1: Program Implementation .....	6
SAB and OPSC Process .....	6
Statewide Outreach .....	6
Part 2: School Facility Needs Assessment Grant Program Requirements and Funding .....	7
Eligibility and Application Process .....	7
Assessment Requirements .....	7
Submittal Timelines .....	8
Summary of Apportionments .....	8
Part 3: Summary of the Progress Made in Completing Needs Assessments.....	10
Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses.....	11
Survey Statements LEAs Completed .....	11
Appendix 2: List of Participating Charter Schools.....	34
Appendix 3: LEAs Not Completing a Progress Survey .....	35

# PREFACE

## Introduction

Chapter 899, Statutes of 2004, (Senate Bill (SB) 6 Alpert) was signed into law on September 29, 2004, and is one of five bills created as part of the settlement agreement in the case of Williams v. State of California. SB 6 established two programs: the School Facilities Needs Assessment Grant Program (SFNAGP) and the Emergency Repair Program (ERP). SB 6 provided \$25 million for Local Educational Agencies (LEA) to perform a one-time assessment of school facility needs at eligible school sites. An additional \$5 million was allocated for the Emergency Repair Program (ERP), which provides reimbursement to LEAs for the cost of emergency repairs at eligible school sites. There will be \$800 million available for emergency repairs over the lifetime of the ERP.

This report has been prepared by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC), on behalf of the State Allocation Board (SAB), in compliance with Education Code (EC) Section 17592.73 to provide the Governor and Legislature with information on the progress made by LEAs in completing the assessment of all eligible schools. This report contains an explanation of the implementation process for the Program and responses from LEAs on progress thus far in completing their needs assessments.

## About the State Allocation Board/Office of Public School Construction

### State Allocation Board

The SAB is primarily responsible for determining the allocation of State resources (proceeds from General Obligation Board Issues and other designated State funds) used for the new construction and modernization of local public school facilities. The SAB is also charged with the responsibility for the administration of the School Facility Program, the State Relocatable Classroom Program, the Deferred Maintenance Program, and, with the passage of SB 6, the SFNAGP and ERP. The SAB is the policy level body for the programs administered by the OPSC.

The SAB is comprised of the Director of Finance (traditional chair), the Director of the Department of General Services, the Superintendent of Public Instruction, three members of the Senate, three members of the Assembly, and one appointee by the Governor.

### Members

- **Mr. Tom Campbell**, Director, Department of Finance
- **Mr. Ron Joseph**, Director, Department of General Services
- **Mr. Jack O'Connell**, State Superintendent of Public Instruction, Department of education
- Senator Bob Margett
- Senator Alan Lowenthal
- Senator Jack Scott
- Assembly Member Jackie Goldberg
- Assembly Member Lynn Daucher
- Assembly Member Joe Coto
- **Ms. Rosario Girard**, Governor Appointee

### Office of Public School Construction

The OPSC, as staff to the SAB, implements and administers the SFNAGP and other programs of the SAB. The OPSC is charged with the responsibility of verifying that all applicant LEAs meet specific criteria based on the type of funding request. The OPSC also prepares recommendations for the SAB's review and approval.

It is also incumbent on the OPSC staff to prepare regulations, policies, and procedures, which carry out the mandates of the SAB, and to work with LEAs to assist them throughout the application process. The OPSC is also responsible for ensuring that funds are disbursed properly and in accordance with the decisions made by the SAB.

### Staff

- **Ms. Luisa M. Park**, Executive Officers, State Allocation Board/Office of Public School Construction
- **Ms. Mavonne Garrity**, Assistant Executive Officer, State Allocation Board
- **Ms. Lori Morgan**, Deputy Executive Officer, Office of Public School Construction

### Summary of the Program

The SFNAGP requires that LEAs complete a one-time, comprehensive assessment of the needs of the facilities used by the pupils and staff at eligible school sites. Eligible schools are those identified by the California Department of Education (CDE) as ranked in deciles 1 through 3, inclusive, of the 2003 Academic Performance Index (API) and were newly constructed prior to January 1, 2000. Funding to develop this assessment is provided for each eligible school in the amount of \$10 per pupil, based on the 2003 California Basic

## Preface

---

Educational Data System (CBEDS) enrollment report, with a minimum of \$7,500 per school.

LEAs must obtain the services of a qualified individual to perform the assessment. At minimum, the assessment must consist of the components set forth by the SAB, which are based on the requirements specified in EC Section 17592.70. The assessment is required to be completed and submitted to the OPSC by January 1, 2006. To assist both the OPSC and LEAs, an on-line submittal program has been developed to transmit eligibility and facility data information.

## **PART 1: PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION**

### **SAB and OPSC Process**

The implementation of SB 6 for the OPSC began in early September 2004. The process included the presentation of working papers and proposed regulations to the SAB Implementation Committee. The SAB Implementation Committee is an informal advisory body established by the SAB to assist the Board and the OPSC with policy and legislation implementation (committee membership is comprised of organizations representing the school facilities community). The proposed policy was presented at four separate public committee meetings. With valuable input from the plaintiffs, committee members, architects, and other interested parties, a consensus was reached and a Program was established that meets the intent of the legislation. The results of the Program will be a valuable tool in understanding the facility needs of California schools.

On January 26, 2005, and February 23, 2005, the SAB adopted the proposed regulations and authorized the Executive Officer to file the regulations with the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) on an emergency basis.

### **Statewide Outreach**

After the successful implementation process, the focus quickly changed to spreading the word throughout the State of the requirements of this Program.

The OPSC, in conjunction with CDE, conducted a series of Statewide workshops on the facility pieces of the Williams Settlement in Santa Clara, Sacramento, Los Angeles, Fresno, San Bernardino, San Diego, Costa Mesa, and Redding to inform both LEAs and potential inspectors about the new program. Attendees at the workshops received information about the eligibility requirements, funding availability, requirements of the assessment, and a demonstration of the on-line system developed to capture the information gathered in the assessment, in addition to information about other Williams programs. Participation and attendance at all locations was good and the overall message was well received by the attendees. Average attendance at the workshops was 51.

## **PART 2: SCHOOL FACILITY NEEDS ASSESSMENT GRANT PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS AND FUNDING**

### **Eligibility and Application Process**

Eligible schools are those identified by the CDE as ranked in deciles 1 through 3, inclusive, of the 2003 API that were newly constructed prior to January 1, 2000. The CDE published a list of 2,208 schools, including 75 charter schools, that met the API criteria. Charter schools were given the option to participate in the Williams programs and, in the end, only six charter schools chose to do so. The final list of schools meeting the API criteria dropped to 2,139 schools representing 388 LEAs. (Refer to Appendix □ and □ for the list of LEAs and charter schools).

The OPSC was unable to determine how many of the schools met the second criteria of being newly constructed prior to January 1, 2000, because a Statewide database does not exist that contains the original date of construction of each school. Therefore, on February 23, 2005, the SAB apportioned funds to all schools meeting the API criteria. Prior to release of funds, LEAs had to submit a worksheet to the OPSC to determine whether or not each of the decile 1 through 3 schools under their jurisdiction was newly constructed prior to January 1, 2000. Schools newly constructed on or after that date are ineligible and any funds apportioned for an ineligible school will not be released. This process allowed the OPSC to quickly release funds and be assured funds are being provided only to eligible schools.

This eligibility criteria applies to the ERP as well. As a result, the schools that are required to have a needs assessment will also be eligible for ERP funding. Due to the fact that an emergency situation may arise prior to or after the completion of the assessment, the repair does not need to have been identified on the assessment in order to qualify for ERP funding. Similarly, the assessment need not be completed prior to applying for ERP funding.

### **Assessment Requirements**

SB 6 required that the needs assessment contain specific information. The data will be provided to the OPSC via the On-Line School Facilities Needs Assessment Submittal Program. Pursuant to statute, the assessment will contain the following information:

- Year of construction of each building used for instructional purposes;
- Year of modernization of each building used for instructional purposes;

## Part 2: School Facility Needs Assessment Grant Program Requirements and Funding

---

- Pupil capacity of the school;
- Enrollment;
- Density of the school campus (pupils/acre);
- Total number of classrooms;
- Age and number of portable classrooms;
- Multi-track, year-round schedule;
- Type of facility used for pupil eating;
- Useful life remaining of all major building systems for each structure;
- Estimated costs for five years to maintain a healthy, safe, suitable, and functional learning environment; and
- List of necessary repairs – if an LEA has identified a health and safety project in this section, the repair may be eligible for reimbursement under the ERP.

The law required the SAB to develop regulations to administer the SFNAGP, including specifying the qualifications of the personnel performing the needs assessment and a method to ensure their independence. Of the six sections for the needs assessment, three may only be completed by an independent inspector that meets the qualifications outlined in the regulations adopted by the SAB. Only a qualified inspector may provide the following data:

- Useful life remaining of all major building systems for each structure;
- Estimated cost for five years to maintain a healthy, safe, suitable, and functional learning environment; and
- List of necessary repairs.

### Submittal Timelines

The needs assessment must be completed and submitted to the OPSC by January 1, 2006, using the on-line program. Any funds not used to perform the assessment may be expended to complete necessary repairs, as reported in the assessment, at the eligible schools sites. LEAs have until January 1, 2007, to expend any of the remaining funds on necessary repairs.

### Summary of Apportionments

Funding to perform the assessment has been provided for each school identified by CDE as meeting the API criteria in the amount of \$10 per pupil, based on the 2003 CBEDS enrollment report, with a minimum of \$7,500 per school.

A total of \$22,829,500 was apportioned by the SAB from the \$25 million allocated for the SFNAGP. The remaining funds shall be transferred to the ERP Account pursuant to EC Section 41207.□. The following charts provide



## Part 2: School Facility Needs Assessment Grant Program Requirements and Funding

---

information on the distribution of funds:

Enrollment	Number of Schools	Allocation
Less than 750	1,809	\$8,167,500
751 to 2,500	939	\$10,997,000
2,500 or Greater	111	\$3,665,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,139</b>	<b>\$22,829,500</b>

Grade Levels	Number of Schools	Allocation
Elementary (K-6)	1,490	\$12,677,020
Middle (7-8)	348	\$4,305,230
High (9-12)	301	\$5,847,250
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,139</b>	<b>\$22,829,500</b>

As of May 9, 2005, 344 of 388 LEAs have submitted a certification of eligibility. The results of those submittals indicates that out of the total 2,139 school sites:

- 1,995 have been deemed eligible based on the date of construction;
- 88 have yet to be determined eligible; and
- 56 have been deemed ineligible because the date of construction of the school occurred on or after January 1, 2000.

The 56 school sites that have been deemed ineligible represent \$507,860 that will be rescinded at a later date.

## PART 3: SUMMARY OF THE PROGRESS MADE IN COMPLETING NEEDS ASSESSMENTS

In order to respond to the request in statute, an on-line progress report survey was developed by the OPSC. LEAs were to respond to four statements and complete one survey for all eligible schools by April 29, 2005. The information provided in the progress reports is the basis of the information contained herein. Due to the tight timelines, significant progress was not anticipated. Out of the 388 LEAs apportioned needs assessment funding, seven LEAs did not need to submit a progress survey. After completing the Certification of Eligibility, the LEAs did not have any eligible school sites remaining to provide a progress report. The following chart summarizes the responses received by LEAs:

<b>Statewide Summary of Progress Report Responses</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Percent</b>
LEAs that submitted a progress report survey	379	-
LEAs that did not complete a progress report survey	2	-
Total number of eligible schools *†	2,081	-
Number of schools with a designated inspector	1,174	56
Number of schools where an assessment has initiated	455	2

\* This number includes school sites that have not been deemed ineligible because the LEA has not submitted the Certification of Eligibility.

† No inclusive of the school sites under the jurisdiction of LEAs that did not complete a progress report survey.

Appendix 1 provides detailed information listing the responses from each LEA. Appendix 3 provides a listing of the LEAs that did not submit a progress survey to the OPSC as required by statute and regulation.

## APPENDIX 1: DETAILED LISTING OF SURVEY RESPONSES

### SURVEY STATEMENTS LEAs COMPLETED

The LEA's responded to the following statements:

- The required assessments have been completed and submitted to the OPSC for the following number of schools.
- The LEA has designated individual(s) to perform the assessment(s) for the following number of schools.
- Of the schools reported in Statement 2, the designated individual(s) began conducting the assessment(s) for the following number of schools.
- Provide an estimated date for the submittal of the completed assessment(s) for all the school under your jurisdiction.

The following information is an alphabetical listing of the survey responses to the above statements by county.

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
Alameda	Berkley Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	05/31/05
Alameda	Emery Unified	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	10	2	100	06/30/05
Alameda	Fremont Unified	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	2	100	12/15/05
Alameda	Hayward Unified	20	\$195,780	0	0	20	100	$\frac{2}{0}$	100	12/31/05
Alameda	Oakland Unified	55	\$491,460	0	0	55	100	$\frac{5}{5}$	100	12/30/05
Alameda	San Leandro Unified	3	\$23,710	0	0	3	100	3	100	06/01/05

## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
Alameda	San Lorenzo Unified	6	\$55,190	0	0	2	33	0	0	12/31/05
Amador	Amador County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/31/05
Butte	Bangor Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	08/31/05
Butte	Chico Unified	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	0	0	01/01/06
Butte	Oroville City Elementary	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	2	100	07/01/05
Butte	Thermalito Union	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	06/01/05
Colusa	Colusa Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	09/01/05
Colusa	Pierce Joint Unified	1	\$15,000	0	0	1	100	1	100	10/01/05
Colusa	Williams Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	06/30/05
Contra Costa	Contra Costa County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	06/01/05
Contra Costa	Antioch Unified	4	\$33,510	0	0	4	100	0	0	12/31/05
Contra Costa	John Swett Unified	1	\$15,000	0	0	1	100	1	100	10/31/05
Contra Costa	Liberty Union High	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	05/18/05
Contra Costa	Mt. Diablo Unified	11	\$97,450	0	0	11	100	1	100	05/20/05
Contra Costa	Pittsburg Unified	7	\$76,500	0	0	7	100	7	100	05/20/05
Contra Costa	West Contra Costa Unified	32	\$288,430	0	0	32	100	0	0	12/31/05
Del Norte	Del Norte County Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	08/31/05

## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
Fresno	Fresno County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	12/01/05
Fresno	American Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Fresno	Clovis Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	06/01/05
Fresno	Coalinga/Huron Joint Unified	4	\$48,670	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Fresno	Fowler Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	10/15/05
Fresno	Fresno Unified	57	\$594,320	0	0	57	100	0	0	12/31/05
Fresno	West Fresno Elementary	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	2	100	12/01/05
Fresno	Kings Canyon Joint Unified	7	\$74,760	0	0	7	100	0	0	12/31/05
Fresno	Laton Joint Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Fresno	Orange Center	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	07/30/05
Fresno	Parlier Unified	5	\$38,340	0	0	5	100	0	0	12/31/05
Fresno	Raisin City Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	06/22/05
Fresno	Sanger Unified	6	\$46,260	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/31/05
Fresno	Selma Unified	5	\$37,520	0	0	5	100	0	0	12/15/05
Fresno	Washington Union High	1	\$11,030	0	0	0	0	0	0	10/01/05
Fresno	West Park Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	06/17/05
Fresno	Westside Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06

## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
Fresno	Firebaugh-Las Deltas Unified	4	\$30,000	0	0	4	100	0	0	12/31/05
Fresno	Central Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	01/01/06
Fresno	Kerman Unified	2	\$18,000	0	0	2	100	2	100	12/31/05
Fresno	Mendota Unified	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	2	100	07/01/05
Fresno	Golden Plains Unified	4	\$30,630	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Fresno	Riverdale Joint Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Fresno	Caruthers Unified	2	\$16,230	0	0	2	100	2	100	07/01/05
Glenn	Glenn County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	12/15/05
Humboldt	Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified	3	\$22,500	0	0	3	100	3	100	06/30/05
Humboldt	South Bay Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	05/05/05
Imperial	Imperial County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/30/05
Imperial	Brawley Union High	1	\$17,290	0	0	1	100	0	0	12/31/05
Imperial	Calexico Unified	9	\$89,380	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/01/05
Imperial	Calipatria Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	05/31/05
Imperial	El Centro Elementary	4	\$30,580	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Imperial	Heber Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	11/15/05
Imperial	Meadows Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/01/05

## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
Imperial	San Pasqual Valley Unified	2	\$22,500	0	0	2	100	0	0	12/31/05
Imperial	Westmorland Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	09/30/05
Inyo	Big Pine Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	08/20/05
Inyo	Lone Pine Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	06/30/05
Kern	Kern County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/30/05
Kern	Arvin Union Elementary	3	\$29,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	06/30/05
Kern	Bakersfield City Elementary	32	\$264,150	0	0	32	100	0	0	12/30/05
Kern	Buttonwillow Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/01/05
Kern	Delano Union Elementary	8	\$69,300	0	0	8	100	0	0	12/31/05
Kern	Delano Join Union High	1	\$26,160	0	0	1	100	1	100	06/30/05
Kern	Di Giorgio Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	08/31/05
Kern	Edison Elementary	2	\$15,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/30/05
Kern	Fairfax Elementary	2	\$16,590	0	0	2	100	2	100	06/30/05
Kern	General Shafter Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	01/01/06
Kern	Greenfield Union	2	\$15,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	09/01/05
Kern	Kern High	10	\$200,080	0	0	0	0	0	0	11/15/05
Kern	Lamont Elementary	3	\$22,950	0	0	3	100	3	100	06/30/05

## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
Kern	Richland	3	\$29,780	0	0	3	100	0	0	12/31/05
Kern	Los Hills Union Elementary	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	2	100	07/01/05
Kern	Mojave Unified	4	\$30,740	0	0	3	75	3	75	05/28/05
Kern	Muroc Joint Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/09/05
Kern	Pond Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	08/01/05
Kern	Semitropic Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	07/30/05
Kern	Standard Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/31/05
Kern	Taft City Elementary	3	\$22,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/15/05
Kern	Taft Union High	1	\$9,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/31/05
Kern	Vineland Elementary	2	\$15,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	07/15/05
Kern	Wasco Union Elementary	3	\$25,030	0	0	3	100	3	100	06/24/05
Kern	Wasco Union High	1	\$13,480	0	0	1	100	0	0	09/16/05
Kern	Macfarland Unified	4	\$30,450	0	0	0	0	0	0	07/01/05
Kings	Kings County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Kings	Armona Union Elementary	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	2	100	10/15/05
Kings	Central Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	09/01/05
Kings	Corcoran Joint Unified	4	\$31,400	0	0	4	100	3	75	10/15/05



## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
Kings	Hanford Elementary	5	\$37,500	0	0	5	100	2	40	06/30/05
Kings	Hanford Joint Union High	2	\$34,800	0	0	2	100	0	0	08/31/05
Kings	Lakeside Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	10/15/05
Kings	Lemoore Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	10/15/05
Kings	Reef-Sunset Unified	4	\$37,500	0	0	4	100	0	0	12/01/05
Lake	Konocti Unified	6	\$46,150	0	0	6	100	0	0	05/30/05
Lake	Lucerne Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	08/15/05
Lake	Middletown Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	07/31/05
Lake	Upper Lake Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	06/30/05
Los Angeles	Abc Unified	6	\$55,560	0	0	6	100	6	100	12/30/05
Los Angeles	Antelope Valley Union High	3	\$91,750	0	0	0	0	0	0	08/15/05
Los Angeles	Azusa Unified	13	\$120,110	0	0	13	100	0	0	12/15/05
Los Angeles	Baldwin Park Unified	10	\$109,440	0	0	10	100	3	30	12/15/05
Los Angeles	Bassett Unified	3	\$30,510	0	0	3	100	3	100	12/15/05
Los Angeles	Bellflower Unified	2	\$40,220	0	0	0	0	0	0	10/01/05
Los Angeles	Bonita Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	08/31/05
Los Angeles	Centinela Valley Union High	3	\$72,310	0	0	3	100	0	0	12/15/05

## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
Los Angeles	Duarte Unified	2	\$15,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	09/30/05
Los Angeles	Eastside Union	3	\$32,690	0	0	3	100	0	0	11/01/05
Los Angeles	East Whittier City Elementary	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	0	0	11/30/05
Los Angeles	El Monte City	8	\$66,160	0	0	3	38	0	0	12/15/05
Los Angeles	El Monte Union High	3	\$53,400	0	0	3	100	0	0	12/15/05
Los Angeles	El Rancho Unified	6	\$48,340	0	0	6	100	0	0	09/01/05
Los Angeles	Garvey Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	12/15/05
Los Angeles	Hawthorne Elementary	5	\$43,430	0	0	5	100	0	0	12/15/05
Los Angeles	Inglewood Unified	8	\$95,380	0	0	8	100	8	100	08/24/05
Los Angeles	Keppel Union Elementary	4	\$30,000	0	0	4	100	0	0	08/31/05
Los Angeles	Lancaster Elementary	11	\$105,750	0	0	11	100	0	0	12/15/05
Los Angeles	Lawndale Elementary	5	\$50,300	0	0	5	100	5	100	10/31/05
Los Angeles	Lennox Elementary	5	\$63,280	0	0	5	100	0	0	09/30/05
Los Angeles	Little Lake City Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/01/05
Los Angeles	Long Beach Unified	16	\$248,760	0	0	16	100	0	0	12/31/05
Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	296	\$4,941,850	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/31/05
Los Angeles	Lynwood Unified	11	\$175,430	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/02/05

## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
Los Angeles	Montebello Unified	19	\$271,040	0	0	1	5	1	5	09/01/05
Los Angeles	Mountain View Elementary	9	\$86,720	0	0	0	0	0	0	09/30/05
Los Angeles	Norwalk-La Mirada Unified	10	\$107,930	0	0	0	0	0	0	08/30/05
Los Angeles	Palmdale Elementary	17	\$185,540	0	0	17	100	0	0	10/31/05
Los Angeles	Paramount Unified	16	\$175,760	0	0	16	100	0	0	12/15/05
Los Angeles	Pasadena Unified	10	\$106,840	0	0	10	100	0	0	07/01/05
Los Angeles	Pomona Unified	24	\$279,530	0	0	24	100	0	0	12/30/05
Los Angeles	South Whittier Elementary	3	\$25,810	0	0	3	100	0	0	01/01/06
Los Angeles	Whittier City	5	\$37,500	0	0	4	80	4	80	09/10/05
Los Angeles	Whittier Union High	2	\$43,630	0	0	2	100	2	100	12/31/05
Los Angeles	Wilsona	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	06/30/05
Los Angeles	Compton Unified	33	\$335,410	0	0	33	100	0	0	12/15/05
Los Angeles	Hacienda La Puente Unified	12	\$110,660	0	0	0	0	0	0	08/31/05
Los Angeles	Rowland Unified	3	\$23,730	0	0	3	100	0	0	09/01/05
Madera	Chowchilla Elementary	3	\$22,500	0	0	3	100	3	100	10/15/05
Madera	Chowchilla Union High	1	\$8,700	0	0	1	100	0	0	12/31/05
Madera	Madera Unified	11	\$129,500	0	0	11	100	0	0	12/01/05

## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
Marin	Marin County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Marin	San Rafael City Elementary	2	\$15,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	09/01/05
Mendocino	Arena Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	07/01/05
Mendocino	Fort Bragg Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	10/30/05
Mendocino	Point Arena Joint Union High	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	07/01/05
Mendocino	Round Valley Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Mendocino	Ukiah Unified	3	\$22,500	0	0	3	100	0	0	12/31/05
Mendocino	Willits Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	06/16/05
Mendocino	Laytonville Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/30/05
Merced	Merced County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/31/05
Merced	Atwater Elementary	3	\$22,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/31/05
Merced	El Nido Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Merced	Le Grand Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	12/31/05
Merced	Le Grand Union High	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	12/31/05
Merced	Livingston Union	4	\$31,030	0	0	3	75	3	75	06/22/05
Merced	Los Banos Unified	5	\$65,180	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Merced	Merced City Elementary	7	\$55,050	0	0	7	100	7	100	12/16/05

## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
Merced	Planada Elementary	1	\$15,000	0	0	1	100	1	100	06/03/05
Merced	Weaver Union Elementary	1	\$9,420	0	0	0	0	0	0	11/30/05
Merced	Winton Elementary	3	\$22,500	0	0	3	100	0	0	11/30/05
Merced	Gustine Unified	1	\$15,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/15/05
Merced	Dos Palos Oro-Loma Joint Unified	4	\$30,000	0	0	4	100	0	0	12/31/05
Merced	Delhi Unified	4	\$32,680	0	0	4	100	0	0	07/29/05
Monterey	Monterey County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,940	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/21/05
Monterey	Alisal Union Elementary	8	\$77,070	0	0	8	100	0	0	12/31/05
Monterey	Chualar Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	12/31/05
Monterey	Greenfield Union Elementary	4	\$30,590	0	0	4	100	3	75	10/15/05
Monterey	King City Union Elementary	3	\$25,950	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Monterey	King City Join Union High	2	\$21,350	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Monterey	Monterey Peninsula Unified	6	\$51,640	0	0	0	0	0	0	09/01/05
Monterey	Salinas City Elementary	8	\$64,320	0	0	0	0	0	0	07/01/05
Monterey	Salinas Union High	6	\$108,290	0	0	6	100	0	0	10/31/05
Monterey	Santa Rita Union elementary	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	0	0	12/31/05
Monterey	North Monterey County Unified	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	0	0	05/27/05

## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
Monterey	Soledad Unified	4	\$32,360	0	0	4	100	0	0	06/30/05
Monterey	Gonzales Unified	3	\$24,160	0	0	3	100	3	100	10/01/05
Napa	Calistoga Joint Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	06/30/05
Napa	Napa Valley Unified	4	\$30,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	10/31/05
Orange	Orange County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Orange	Anaheim City	17	\$176,380	0	0	17	100	$\frac{1}{7}$	100	12/31/05
Orange	Anaheim Union High	7	\$116,140	0	0	7	100	0	0	12/30/05
Orange	Buena Park Elementary	1	\$7,520	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/30/05
Orange	Capistrano Unified	2	\$22,500	0	0	2	100	2	100	06/01/05
Orange	Fullerton Elementary	6	\$50,850	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/30/05
Orange	Fullerton Joint Union High	1	\$19,630	0	0	1	100	0	0	12/15/05
Orange	Garden Grove Unified	5	\$39,060	0	0	5	100	5	100	12/31/05
Orange	Huntington Beach Union High	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	06/01/05
Orange	La Habra City Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	12/15/05
Orange	Magnolia Elementary	2	\$15,540	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Orange	Newport-Mesa Unified	5	\$43,410	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Orange	Ocean View Elementary	1	\$7,990	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/01/05

## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
Orange	Orange Unified	8	\$63,260	0	0	8	100	8	100	11/01/05
Orange	Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified	2	\$22,400	0	0	2	100	2	100	12/01/05
Orange	Santa Ana Unified	37	\$480,050	0	0	0	0	0	0	09/30/05
Orange	Westminster Elementary	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	0	0	10/31/05
Orange	Tustin Unified	3	\$22,500	0	0	3	100	0	0	09/01/05
Placer	Placer County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Placer	Placer Union High	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	10/31/05
Placer	Tahoe-Truckee Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	07/15/05
Riverside	Alvord Unified	10	\$98,830	0	0	0	0	0	0	06/30/05
Riverside	Banning Unified	5	\$41,560	0	0	5	100	5	100	01/01/06
Riverside	Corona-Norco Unified	4	\$42,420	0	0	4	100	0	0	06/30/05
Riverside	Desert Sands Unified	11	\$102,660	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Riverside	Hemet Unified	2	\$16,360	0	0	0	0	0	0	08/19/05
Riverside	Jurupa Unified	11	\$130,490	0	0	0	0	0	0	09/30/05
Riverside	Moreno Valley Unified	12	\$150,960	0	0	12	100	1/2	100	12/01/05
Riverside	Palm Springs Unified	12	\$131,050	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Riverside	Palo Verde Unified	4	\$31,840	0	0	0	0	0	0	06/30/05

## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
Riverside	Perris Elementary	5	\$47,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	11/30/05
Riverside	Perris Union High	2	\$37,030	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Riverside	Riverside Unified	4	\$49,070	0	0	0	0	0	0	11/01/05
Riverside	Romoland Elementary	2	\$17,630	0	0	2	100	0	0	01/01/06
Riverside	Coachella Valley Unified	15	\$143,470	0	0	15	100	1	7	12/01/05
Riverside	Lake Elsinore Unified	3	\$24,470	0	0	0	0	0	0	09/30/05
Riverside	Temecula Valley Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	04/27/05
Riverside	Val Verde Unified	2	\$19,610	0	0	2	100	0	0	05/30/05
Sacramento	Sacramento County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	08/30/05
Sacramento	Del Paso Heights Elementary	4	\$30,000	0	0	4	100	0	0	12/15/05
Sacramento	Elk Grove Unified	3	\$32,820	0	0	3	100	3	100	08/01/05
Sacramento	Folsom-Cordova Unified	2	\$15,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	09/02/05
Sacramento	Galt Joint Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	09/30/05
Sacramento	Grant Joint Union High	8	\$94,740	0	0	8	100	0	0	12/15/05
Sacramento	North Sacramento Elementary	8	\$60,410	0	0	8	100	0	0	10/01/05
Sacramento	Rio Linda Union Elementary	3	\$22,500	0	0	3	100	1	33	06/11/05
Sacramento	River Delta Joint Unified	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	0	0	09/30/05



## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
Sacramento	Sacramento City Unified	32	\$293,960	0	0	32	100	0	0	12/31/05
Sacramento	San Juan Unified	8	\$60,990	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/31/05
Sacramento	Natomas Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	05/30/05
San Benito	Hollister Elementary	3	\$22,870	0	0	3	100	0	0	09/30/05
San Benito	Aromas-San Juan	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	12/31/05
San Bernardino	San Bernardino County Off. of Ed.	1	\$17,880	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/30/05
San Bernardino	Adelanto Elementary	3	\$22,500	0	0	3	100	0	0	12/15/05
San Bernardino	Barstow Unified	3	\$22,500	0	0	3	100	0	0	12/01/05
San Bernardino	Chaffey Joint Union High	3	\$91,940	0	0	0	0	0	0	11/30/05
San Bernardino	Chino Valley Unified	4	\$47,650	0	0	4	100	4	100	12/01/05
San Bernardino	Colton Joint Unified	15	\$171,440	0	0	15	100	$\frac{1}{5}$	100	12/01/05
San Bernardino	Cucamonga Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	07/01/05
San Bernardino	Fontana Unified	22	\$281,090	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/15/05
San Bernardino	Morongo Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	12/15/05
San Bernardino	Needles Unified	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	2	100	08/01/05
San Bernardino	Ontario-Montclair	26	\$235,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/27/05
San Bernardino	Redlands Unified	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	2	100	12/01/05

## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
San Bernardino	Rialto Unified	14	\$205,750	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
San Bernardino	San Bernardino City Unified	42	\$480,420	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
San Bernardino	Victor Elementary	4	\$30,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/30/05
San Bernardino	Silver Valley Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	12/31/05
San Bernardino	Hesperia Unified	3	\$29,320	0	0	3	100	3	100	10/15/05
San Bernardino	Lucerne Valley Unified	3	\$22,500	0	0	3	100	0	0	05/20/05
San Diego	Cajon Valley Union Elementary	5	\$41,690	0	0	0	0	0	0	09/30/05
San Diego	Chula Vista Elementary	9	\$68,630	0	0	9	100	0	0	12/15/05
San Diego	Escondido Union Elementary	8	\$75,270	0	0	8	100	0	0	12/15/05
San Diego	Escondido Union High	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	06/27/05
San Diego	Grossmont Union High	3	\$37,300	0	0	3	100	3	100	07/01/05
San Diego	La Mesa-Spring Valley	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	12/15/05
San Diego	Mountain Empire Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	06/30/05
San Diego	National	3	\$23,520	0	0	3	100	0	0	06/30/05
San Diego	San Diego City Unified	40	\$407,260	0	0	39	98	0	0	08/30/05
San Diego	San Ysidro Elementary	5	\$40,840	0	0	5	100	0	0	12/15/05
San Diego	South Bay Union Elementary	6	\$52,150	0	0	6	100	0	0	12/15/05

## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
San Diego	Sweetwater Union High	11	\$202,380	0	0	11	100	$\frac{1}{1}$	100	12/15/05
San Diego	Vista Unified	4	\$44,650	0	0	4	100	4	100	12/09/05
San Diego	Oceanside City Unified	4	\$30,000	0	0	4	100	0	0	12/15/05
San Diego	San Marcos Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	07/01/05
San Diego	Valley Center-Pauma	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	04/29/05
San Francisco	San Francisco County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/31/05
San Francisco	San Francisco Unified	43	\$342,570	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/31/05
San Joaquin	San Joaquin County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/31/05
San Joaquin	Banta Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	11/30/05
San Joaquin	Holt Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	07/01/05
San Joaquin	Lincoln Unified	3	\$22,500	0	0	3	100	0	0	07/31/05
San Joaquin	Linden Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	06/19/05
San Joaquin	Lodi Unified	15	\$140,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	11/01/05
San Joaquin	Manteca Unified	4	\$43,790	0	0	4	100	4	100	05/31/05
San Joaquin	New Hope Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	04/29/05
San Joaquin	Stockton Unified	34	\$368,940	0	0	34	100	$\frac{3}{4}$	100	12/31/05
San Joaquin	Tracy Joint Unified	4	\$33,560	0	0	4	100	4	100	05/31/05

## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
San Luis Obispo	San Luis Obispo County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	04/29/05
San Luis Obispo	Lucia Mar Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	12/30/05
San Luis Obispo	Shandon Join Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	12/15/05
San Luis Obispo	Paso Robles Joint Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	06/30/05
San Mateo	San Mateo County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/31/05
San Mateo	La Honda-Pescadero Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/31/05
San Mateo	Ravenswood City Elementary	6	\$45,070	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
San Mateo	Redwood City Elementary	7	\$53,340	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
San Mateo	San Mateo-Foster City	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	10/01/05
San Mateo	Sequoia Union High	1	\$15,490	0	0	1	100	1	100	09/30/05
San Mateo	South San Francisco Unified	1	\$7,780	0	0	1	100	1	100	06/01/05
Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	01/01/06
Santa Barbara	Santa Maria-Bonita	10	\$81,840	0	0	10	100	7	70	10/15/05
Santa Barbara	Guadalupe Union Elementary	1	\$8,030	0	0	1	100	1	100	06/01/05
Santa Barbara	Lompoc Unified	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	2	100	01/01/06
Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara Elementary	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	0	0	08/01/05
Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara High	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	08/01/05

## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
Santa Barbara	Santa Maria Joint Union High	1	\$38,030	0	0	1	100	1	100	04/29/05
Santa Barbara	Cuyama Joint Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	08/01/05
Santa Clara	Santa Clara County Off. of Ed.	1	\$14,370	0	0	0	0	0	0	09/30/05
Santa Clara	Alum Rock Union Elementary	13	\$97,900	0	0	13	100	0	0	12/15/05
Santa Clara	Campbell Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	01/01/06
Santa Clara	East Side Union High	4	\$61,720	0	0	4	100	4	100	12/09/05
Santa Clara	Franklin-McKinley Elementary	6	\$45,490	0	0	6	100	6	100	01/01/06
Santa Clara	Gilroy Unified	2	\$22,500	0	0	2	100	2	100	05/16/05
Santa Clara	Luther Burbank Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	07/25/05
Santa Clara	Moreland Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Santa Clara	Mountain View-Whisman Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	08/31/05
Santa Clara	San Jose Unified	11	\$113,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/31/05
Santa Clara	Santa Clara Unified	2	\$15,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	09/30/05
Santa Cruz	Pajaro Valley Unified	16	\$148,800	0	0	16	100	0	0	12/31/05
Shasta	Shasta County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	06/01/05
Shasta	Cascade Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	04/11/05
Shasta	Happy Valley Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	06/01/05

## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
Shasta	Redding Elementary	2	\$15,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/30/05
Siskiyou	Montague Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	09/30/05
Solano	Dixon Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/31/05
Solano	Fairfield-Suisun Unified	8	\$79,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/01/05
Solano	Vacaville Unified	2	\$16,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/31/05
Solano	Vallejo City Unified	10	\$98,790	0	0	10	100	0	0	12/31/05
Sonoma	Sonoma County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	04/29/05
Sonoma	Bellevue Union Elementary	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	0	0	12/31/05
Sonoma	Petaluma City Elementary	2	\$15,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	09/30/05
Sonoma	Roseland Elementary	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	0	0	12/31/05
Sonoma	Santa Rosa Elementary	6	\$45,000	0	0	6	100	6	100	12/02/05
Sonoma	Santa Rosa High	1	\$7,710	0	0	1	100	1	100	12/02/05
Sonoma	Sonoma Valley Unified	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	2	100	12/15/05
Sonoma	Cotati-Rohnert Park Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	11/30/05
Stanislaus	Stanislaus County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	09/30/05
Stanislaus	Keyes Union Elementary	1	\$15,000	0	0	1	100	1	100	09/30/05
Stanislaus	Modesto City Elementary	13	\$112,020	0	0	13	100	0	0	12/15/05

## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
Stanislaus	Stanislaus Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	05/01/05
Stanislaus	Turlock Joint Elementary	3	\$24,460	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/15/05
Stanislaus	Newman-Crows Landing Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	06/30/05
Stanislaus	Riverbank Unified	3	\$24,070	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/31/05
Sutter	Yuba City Unified	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	2	100	06/30/05
Tehama	Corning Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/15/05
Tehama	Red Bluff Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	04/29/05
Tulare	Alta Vista Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	06/01/05
Tulare	Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified	5	\$39,590	0	0	5	100	5	100	06/01/05
Tulare	Ducor Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	08/15/05
Tulare	Earlimart Elementary	2	\$22,920	0	0	2	100	2	100	07/01/05
Tulare	Kings River Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	10/15/05
Tulare	Liberty Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	10/15/05
Tulare	Lindsay Unified	5	\$38,600	0	0	5	100	0	0	06/30/05
Tulare	Pixley Union Elementary	1	\$9,380	0	0	1	100	1	100	05/30/05
Tulare	Pleasant View Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	07/13/05
Tulare	Strathmore Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	10/15/05

## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
Tulare	Sunnyside Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	06/01/05
Tulare	Terra Bella Union Elementary	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	2	100	07/01/05
Tulare	Tipton Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	10/15/05
Tulare	Tulare City Elementary	5	\$37,500	0	0	5	100	5	100	07/01/05
Tulare	Tulare Joint Union High	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	05/31/05
Tulare	Visalia Unified	11	\$88,510	0	0	0	0	0	0	07/01/05
Tulare	Waukena Joint Union Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	0	0	08/01/05
Tulare	Woodlake Union Elementary	3	\$22,500	0	0	3	100	3	100	07/01/05
Tulare	Woodlake Union High	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	07/01/05
Tulare	Woodville Elementary	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	06/06/05
Tulare	Farmersville Unified	4	\$30,000	0	0	4	100	3	75	10/15/05
Tulare	Porterville Unified	10	\$94,870	0	0	10	100	0	0	06/24/05
Tulare	Dinuba Unified	5	\$45,710	0	0	5	100	0	0	06/01/05
Ventura	Ventura County Off. of Ed.	1	\$7,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	01/01/06
Ventura	Filmore Unified	1	\$10,910	0	0	0	0	0	0	08/01/05
Ventura	Hueneme Elementary	5	\$38,380	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/15/05
Ventura	Ocean View Elementary	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	0	0	12/26/05



## Appendix 1: Detailed Listing of Survey Responses

County	District	Total Eligible School Sites	Total Apportionment	Response 1	Percent Submitted	Response 2	Percent with Designated Inspector	Response 3	Percent Assessment Begun	Response 4
Ventura	Oxnard Elementary	14	\$122,620	0	0	14	100	$\frac{1}{4}$	100	12/31/05
Ventura	Oxnard Union High	3	\$106,770	0	0	0	0	0	0	12/15/05
Ventura	Rio Elementary	4	\$38,890	0	0	0	0	0	0	06/30/05
Ventura	Santa Paula Elementary	6	\$50,330	0	0	0	0	0	0	09/01/05
Ventura	Santa Paula Union High	1	\$16,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	10/31/05
Yolo	Washington Unified	2	\$15,000	0	0	2	100	2	100	07/15/05
Yolo	Winters Joint Unified	1	\$7,500	0	0	1	100	1	100	07/01/05
Yolo	Woodland Joint Unified	4	\$31,090	0	0	4	100	0	0	06/30/05
Yuba	Marysville Joint Unified	7	\$57,850	0	0	7	100	7	100	06/14/05
<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>2,081</b>	<b>\$22,711,710</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,174</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>455</b>	<b>22</b>	

## APPENDIX 2: LIST OF PARTICIPATING CHARTER SCHOOLS

Charter School	County	LEA	Apportionment Amount
Darnall E-Campus Charter	San Diego	San Diego City	\$7,500
Garfield Charter	San Mateo	Redwood City Elementary	\$7,500
MAAC Community Charter	San Diego	Sweetwater Union High	\$7,500
Pacoima Charter Elementary	Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	\$14,870
Shearer Charter	Napa	Napa Valley Unified	\$7,500
Vaughn Next Century Learning	Los Angeles	Los Angeles Unified	\$12,400

### APPENDIX 3: LEAs NOT COMPLETING A PROGRESS SURVEY

County	LEA	Total Number of School Sites
Los Angeles	Los Angeles County Office of Education	1
Madera	Madera County Office of Education	1

These LEAs have informed the OPSC that a survey was not submitted due to the on-going discussions to remove special education programs from the CDE list.